1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
2	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION				
3	CUC DANG,				
4					
5					
6	VS. SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA				
7	SUTTER'S PLACE, INC., DBA BAY MAY 7, 2013 101 OR BAY 101 CASINO, UNITE				
8	HERE! LOCAL 19, AND DOES 1 VOLUME 5 THROUGH 20, INCLUSIVE,				
9	PAGES 569 - 679 DEFENDANTS.				
10					
11	TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL				
12	BEFORE THE HONORABLE RONALD M. WHYTE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE AND A JURY				
13	A-P-P-E-A-R-A-N-C-E-S				
14	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: ROBINSON & WOOD, INC.				
15	BY: ANN A.P. NGUYEN 227 NORTH FIRST STREET SAN TOSE CALLEORNIA 05112				
16	SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA 95113				
17	ALSO PRESENT: ANDRE THOMAS				
18	FOR THE DEFENDANTS: MCMANIS FAULKNER  BY: JAMES MCMANIS				
19	JENNIFER MURAKAMI FAIRMONT PLAZA				
20	10TH FLOOR 50 W. SAN FERNANDO STREET				
21	SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA 95113				
22	ALSO PRESENT: CINDY MCCLELEN				
23	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER: IRENE L. RODRIGUEZ, CSR, CRR CERTIFICATE NUMBER 8074				
24					
25	PROCEEDINGS RECORDED BY MECHANICAL STENOGRAPHY,  TRANSCRIPT PRODUCED WITH COMPUTER				
_ •					

1			
2	INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS		
3	FOR THE PLAINTIFF'S:		
4	CUC DANG	D 570	
5	DIRECT EXAM BY MS. NGUYEN (RESUMED) CROSS-EXAM BY MR. MCMANIS	P. 572 P. 582, 614	
6	PATRICK MASON	D 500	
7	DIRECT EXAM BY MS. NGUYEN CROSS-EXAM BY MR. MCMANIS	P. 598 P. 612	
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1			
2		INDEX OF EXHIBITS	
3			
4		IDENT.	EVIDENCE
5	PLAINTIFF'S:		
6	33		574
7	26 35 & 36		599 607
8			
9	DEFENDANTS':		
10	561 562	664 666	665
11	563 & 564 519	670	671 673
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA MAY 7, 2013
2	PROCEEDINGS
3	(COURT CONVENED AND JURY PRESENT.)
4	THE COURT: ARE WE ALL SET?
5	MS. NGUYEN: ARE YOU READY TO RESUME?
6	THE COURT: YES.
7	MS. NGUYEN: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
8	(PLAINTIFF CUC DANG WAS PREVIOUSLY SWORN.)
9	DIRECT EXAMINATION (RESUMED)
10	BY MS. NGUYEN:
11	Q. GOOD MORNING, MS. DANG.
12	A. GOOD MORNING.
13	Q. YESTERDAY WHEN WE LEFT OFF I WAS ASKING YOU ABOUT REST
14	BREAKS AND LUNCH BREAKS, AND I'D LIKE TO FINISH UP WITH THAT
15	AREA RIGHT NOW.
16	MS. DANG, DO YOU KNOW HOW MANY WEEKS YOU WORKED AT BAY 101
17	AS A COOK?
18	A. ONE THIRTY WEEKS.
19	Q. AND HOW MANY WEEKS DID YOU WORK AT BAY 101 AS A SERVER?
20	A. THIRTY-SIX WEEKS.
21	Q. AND HOW MANY REST BREAKS DID YOU MISS AS A COOK?
22	A. ONE BREAK.
23	Q. ONE BREAK A DAY THAT YOU MISSED?
24	A. ONE BREAK A DAY.
25	Q. AND WHEN YOU WERE A SERVER, HOW MANY BREAKS A DAY DID YOU

- 1 MISS?
- 2 A. I MISSED TWO BREAKS.
- 3 Q. AND WHEN YOU WERE WORKING AT BAY 101, ON AVERAGE HOW MANY
- 4 DAYS A WEEK DID YOU WORK?
- 5 A. I WORKED FIVE DAYS A WEEK, BUT I MISSED TWO DAYS WITHOUT
- 6 LUNCH AS A COOK.
- 7 Q. SO AS A COOK YOU MISSED TWO DAYS A WEEK WITHOUT LUNCH; IS
- 8 THAT RIGHT?
- 9 A. YES.
- 10 Q. AND WHEN YOU WERE A SERVER, DID YOU HAVE TO MISS ANY
- 11 LUNCH?
- 12 A. YEAH, I HAVE LUNCH EVERY DAY.
- 13 Q. AND WHEN YOU WERE A SERVER, DID YOU EVER VOLUNTARILY GIVE
- 14 UP YOUR BREAK?
- 15 A. NO.
- Q. I'D LIKE YOU TO TAKE A LOOK NOW AT EXHIBIT 33. MS. DANG,
- 17 HAVE YOU SEEN THAT BEFORE?
- 18 A. NO.
- 19 Q. DO YOU KNOW WHAT THAT IS?
- 20 A. OKAY. THIS IS A PAPER THAT BAY 101 SENT OUT FOR EMPLOYEES
- 21 TO KNOW THAT THE TIME THAT THEY PUNCH IN AND THE TIME THEY
- 22 PUNCH OUT AND THE TIME THAT THEY HAVE LUNCH.
- 23 Q. AND YOU HAVE SEEN THAT BEFORE TODAY, HAVEN'T YOU?
- 24 A. YES.
- MS. NGUYEN: YOUR HONOR, WE MOVE TO HAVE THAT

1 ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.

- 2 MR. MCMANIS: NO OBJECTION.
- THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. IT'S ADMITTED.
- 4 (PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 33 WAS RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE.)
- 5 MS. NGUYEN: SCROLL DOWN, ANDRE. YES. OKAY.
- 6 Q. MS. DANG, THERE'S A COLUMN, AND IT'S THE THIRD AND THE
- 7 FOURTH COLUMNS FROM THE LEFT, OR I'M SORRY, FROM THE RIGHT.
- 8 DO YOU SEE THAT?
- 9 A. YES.
- 10 Q. AND DO YOU KNOW WHAT THOSE COLUMNS SHOW?
- 11 A. THE TIME THEY SHOW THAT I HAVE LUNCH, BUT ACTUALLY I DON'T
- 12 HAVE LUNCH. SO BY DEDUCING THAT TIME I DON'T HAVE OVERTIME.
- 13 Q. SO LET ME ASK IT THIS WAY, YOU SAID EARLIER WHEN YOU WERE
- 14 WORKING AS A COOK YOU MISSED TWO LUNCHES A WEEK, RIGHT?
- 15 A. THAT'S CORRECT.
- 16 Q. AND DURING THOSE TIMES, DID YOU STILL HAVE TO CLOCK OUT?
- 17 A. YES.
- 18 Q. AND WHEN YOU CLOCKED OUT, YOU DIDN'T GET PAID FOR THE TIME
- 19 THAT YOU DIDN'T WORK, RIGHT?
- 20 A. THAT'S CORRECT.
- 21 Q. SO IF THOSE TIMES WOULD HAVE BEEN INCLUDED IN THE TIMES
- 22 THAT YOU WORK, WOULD YOU HAVE WORKED MORE THAN EIGHT HOURS A
- 23 DAY?
- 24 A. THAT'S CORRECT.
- 25 Q. AND WHEN YOU WERE WORKING AT BAY 101, DID YOU WORK MORE

1 THAN 40 HOURS A WEEK A LOT OF TIMES?

- 2 A. THAT'S CORRECT.
- 3 Q. AND, MS. DANG, HAVE YOU CALCULATED TO HAVE AN ESTIMATE OF
- 4 HOW MUCH OVERTIME YOU BELIEVE THAT BAY 101 OWES TO YOU FOR THE
- 5 MISSED BREAKS?
- 6 I'M SORRY. FOR THE MISSED LUNCH?
- 7 A. ON AVERAGE I LOST 60 MINUTES A WEEK.
- 8 Q. AND WHEN YOU WERE STILL WORKING AT BAY 101, MS. DANG, DID
- 9 YOU EVER COMPLAIN ABOUT MISSING OUT ON THE OVERTIME?
- 10 A. PREVIOUSLY I DIDN'T KNOW SO I DIDN'T COMPLAIN ABOUT THAT.
- 11 Q. ALL RIGHT. LET ME ASK YOU SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT THE JOB
- 12 SEARCH THAT YOU CONDUCTED AFTER LEAVING BAY 101.
- 13 DO YOU KNOW HOW MANY PLACES YOU HAVE MADE INQUIRIES TO FOR
- 14 A JOB?
- 15 A. AROUND OVER 100 PLACES.
- 16 Q. AND DID YOU HAVE DIFFICULTIES WHEN YOU WENT TO LOOK FOR A
- 17 JOB?
- 18 A. YES.
- 19 Q. WHAT KIND OF DIFFICULTIES?
- 20 A. BECAUSE THE EMPLOYEE ASK ME WHERE DID I WORK AND WHY DID I
- 21 STOP WORKING. AND I TOLD THEM THAT I WORKED AT CASINO 101 AND
- 22 THEN I WAS TERMINATED.
- 23 SO IT WAS DIFFICULT FOR ME. IT'S NOT EASY FOR ME TO FIND
- 24 A JOB WITH THAT BACKGROUND BECAUSE THEY FOUND OUT I WAS FIRED
- 25 AND THEY DIDN'T WANT TO HIRE ME.

1 Q. AND BESIDES THAT DIFFICULTY, DID YOU HAVE ANY OTHER

- 2 DIFFICULTIES WHEN YOU STARTED LOOKING FOR A JOB?
- 3 A. YES.
- 4 Q. PLEASE TELL US.
- 5 A. YES, LIKE SOMETIMES I FEEL LIKE I'M NOT WELL ENOUGH. I
- 6 FEEL TIRED AND BECAUSE OF MY DEPRESSION IT MAKES ME, LIKE, NOT
- 7 STRONG ENOUGH, NOT WELL ENOUGH, AND NOT EAGER ENOUGH TO LOOK
- 8 FOR A JOB.
- 9 Q. NOW, YESTERDAY, MS. DANG, YOU TALKED ABOUT HOW YOU FELT
- 10 SORRY FOR LUCIO AND THAT WAS THE REASON THAT YOU AGREED NOT TO
- 11 CALL THE POLICE OR REPORT HIS INTRUSION INTO YOUR HOUSE.
- 12 AND SOME TIME LATER DID YOU TELL BAY 101 MANAGEMENT ABOUT
- 13 LUCIO COMING INTO YOUR HOUSE?
- 14 A. YES, I DID.
- 15 O. DID YOU TELL THEM THAT LUCIO SUAREZ WAS HARASSING YOU
- 16 OUTSIDE OF WORK?
- 17 A. YES, I DID.
- 18 Q. AND DID THEY DO ANYTHING TO HELP YOU?
- 19 A. THEY TOLD ME THAT IF THE INCIDENT HAPPENED AGAIN, I CAN
- 20 CALL THE POLICE MYSELF BECAUSE THAT DOESN'T BELONG TO BAY 101.
- 21 Q. NOW, YOU WORKED AT BAY 101 FOR OVER THREE YEARS. IN THOSE
- 22 THREE PLUS YEARS WERE JOHN ST. CROIX AND NICK ORTEGA HARDER ON
- 23 YOU THAN THE OTHER EMPLOYEES?
- 24 A. YES.
- 25 Q. AND WHY DO YOU THINK THAT THEY WERE HARDER ON YOU?

1 A. I SAID TO MYSELF HE TREATED ME LIKE MORE HARDER THAN

- 2 OTHERS.
- 3 Q. DO YOU KNOW WHY?
- 4 A. BECAUSE I COMPLAINED TO THEM A LOT SO THEY INTEND TO FIRE
- 5 ME AND THEY WANTED TO CAUSE TROUBLE FOR ME.
- 6 Q. YOU THINK THAT THEY WANTED TO GET RID OF YOU?
- 7 A. YEAH, YEAH, THAT'S WHAT MAMA AHN TOLD ME. SHE SAID THAT
- 8 THEY WOULD FIRE ME, BUT I KNOW THAT SHE WOULD NOT ADMIT WHAT
- 9 SHE SAID BECAUSE SHE'S STILL WORKING THERE.
- 10 Q. AND, MS. DANG, DID YOU COMPLAIN TOO MUCH?
- 11 A. NO, IT'S NOT THAT I COMPLAIN TOO MUCH BUT BECAUSE THEY
- 12 CAUSE ME TOO MUCH TROUBLE. I JUST WANT THEM -- TO DO MY JOB
- 13 WELL, BUT THEY KEEP CAUSING TROUBLE TO ME AND THEY DID NOT
- 14 LEAVE ME ALONE FOR ME TO DO MY JOB.
- 15 Q. AND MR. ST. CROIX DIED IN NOVEMBER OF 2007. DO YOU
- 16 REMEMBER THAT?
- 17 A. YES, I DID.
- 18 Q. AND AFTER THAT DID NICK ORTEGA CONTINUE TO GIVE YOU
- 19 PROBLEMS?
- 20 A. YES.
- 21 Q. AND I KNOW YESTERDAY YOU TOLD US OF SOME OF THE WAYS THAT
- 22 THEY MADE IT DIFFICULT FOR YOU.
- 23 WERE THERE OTHER WAYS THAT YOUR LIFE WAS MADE DIFFICULT AT
- BAY 101 THAT YOU HAVEN'T TOLD US ABOUT?
- 25 A. YES.

- 1 Q. AND WHICH OTHER WAYS?
- 2 A. OKAY. YOU KNOW THERE WAS DISCRIMINATION ABOUT SEX OF MALE
- 3 AND FEMALE EMPLOYEES. IF A MALE EMPLOYEE TOOK THE STEAKS,
- 4 NOTHING HAPPENED. BUT WHEN I TOOK MY MEAL OUT TO HAVE TO EAT,
- 5 YOU KNOW, AND THEN MR. -- A GENTLEMAN -- I'M SORRY -- NICK CAME
- 6 OVER AND HE STIR MY FOOD. AND WHEN I CAME BACK AND I ASKED
- 7 HIM, I ASKED HIM WHY HE DID IT. HE SAID THAT HE WANTED TO SEE
- 8 WHAT IS IN MY MEAL.
- 9 Q. SO AS EMPLOYEES AT BAY 101, WERE YOU ALLOWED TO EAT FROM
- 10 AN EMPLOYEE MENU?
- 11 A. JUST THE FOOD FOR EMPLOYEES ONLY.
- 12 Q. AND WHEN YOU SAID THAT SOME OF THE MEN WERE ABLE TO TAKE
- 13 FOOD, WAS IT NOT FROM THE EMPLOYEE MENU?
- 14 A. THAT'S CORRECT.
- 15 Q. AND MR. ORTEGA WAS CHECKING THE FOOD YOU WERE EATING ON
- 16 YOUR LUNCH BREAK?
- 17 A. THAT'S CORRECT.
- 18 Q. DID HE DO THAT TO ANY OF THE MEN?
- 19 A. ALL OF THE MALE EMPLOYEES IN AMERICAN CUISINE, HE DIDN'T
- 20 SAY ANYTHING ABOUT THEM. ONLY THE FEMALE EMPLOYEES IN THE
- 21 VIETNAMESE IS ACCUSING.
- 22 Q. AND ANY OTHER WAYS IN WHICH MR. ORTEGA MADE YOUR LIFE
- 23 DIFFICULT?
- 24 A. ONCE I MET THE CUSTOMER, AND THEY TOLD ME NOT TO ASK IF I
- 25 WAS HIS GIRLFRIEND.

Q. AND WHAT DID YOU HAVE TO DO ABOUT THAT? 1 THE INTERPRETER: I'M SORRY, YOUR HONOR. THE 2 3 INTERPRETER DOES NOT UNDERSTAND WHAT IS IT. 4 THE COURT: JUST ASK HER TO REPEAT HER ANSWER TO 5 YOU. 6 THE INTERPRETER: CAN YOU REPEAT THE QUESTION. 7 BY MS. NGUYEN: O. I ASKED WHAT YOU DID WHEN YOU FOUND OUT WHAT LINDA SAID TO 8 9 THE CUSTOMER AND CALLED YOU HIS GIRLFRIEND? 10 A. I TOLD THE CUSTOMER THAT I DIDN'T WANT TO MAKE HIM SAD, 11 BUT LET ME GO INSIDE AND TALK WITH LINDA -- TALK WITH NICK. AND WHAT DID YOU TALK TO MR. ORTEGA ABOUT? 12 13 A. I TOLD NICK WHEN I MET HIM THAT IT DIDN'T HAPPEN LIKE 14 THAT, AND I WANT HIM TO TELL LINDA NOT TO TELL THE CUSTOMER 15 LIKE THAT. 16 AND ANYTHING CALLED JENNIFER. I DIDN'T KNOW WHAT THEY 17 WERE TALKING ABOUT, AND I JUST HEARD THAT AND NICK LAUGHED WHEN 18 HE WAS TALKING. AND THEN AFTER THAT HE TOLD ME JUST TO GO OUT, AND HE 19 20 DIDN'T ASK ANYTHING ABOUT LINDA. AND THAT HURT ME A LOT. 21 O. SO HE DIDN'T RESOLVE THAT PROBLEM FOR YOU? 22 Α. THAT'S CORRECT. Q. ANY OTHER WAYS IN WHICH YOUR LIFE WAS MADE DIFFICULT AT 23 24 WORK?

A. THERE WAS A LOT AND NOW I CANNOT REMEMBER.

25

Q. YOU SAID, MS. DANG, THAT THE WAY BAY 101 TERMINATED YOU

MADE YOU VERY SAD. DID IT ALSO MAKE YOU ANGRY?

A. YES, VERY SAD AND VERY UPSET.

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

4 Q. MS. DANG. HOLD ON. LET THE INTERPRETER INTERPRET FOR
5 YOU. I'M SORRY TO INTERRUPT.

6 WE DON'T WANT TO MAKE HIS LIFE MORE DIFFICULT?

A. THERE WAS A MEETING. AND THEY SAID THAT I LEFT THE

MEETING ON MY OWN WILL, BUT ACTUALLY THE NIGHT BEFORE I WORKED

FROM 11:00 P.M. TO 7:00 A.M. IN THE MORNING. AND THE MEETING

IS AT 10:00 A.M. AND IT LASTED UNTIL 1:00 P.M. -- 1:30 P.M.

AT THAT TIME I WAS SO TIRED, I WAS SO HUNGRY AND I DIDN'T HAVE WATER TO DRINK AND ALSO I FELL SLEEPY AND SO TIRED BECAUSE THE MEETING -- BECAUSE I HAVE TO, LIKE, STAY WORKING AND AT THE MEETING FOR TOO LONG, AND I ALMOST FELL AND FAINTED. AND THAT'S WHY I TOLD JENNIFER THAT I HAD TO LEAVE.

MR. MCMANIS: EXCUSE ME. WITH GREAT RESPECT, THIS IS CUMULATIVE, AND WE WENT OVER ALL OF THIS YESTERDAY.

THE COURT: THIS WAS GONE OVER YESTERDAY.

MS. NGUYEN: YES. I WAS ASKING A DIFFERENT

QUESTION.

- Q. MS. DANG, I WAS ASKING YOU ABOUT THE TERMINATION. CAN YOU PLEASE TELL US AFTER THE TERMINATION, DID YOU WORRY ABOUT
- 23 EARNING A LIVING?
- 24 A. YES, I DID.
- 25 Q. BECAUSE BEFORE YOU WERE TERMINATED, YOU HAD BEEN WORKING

1 MOST OF YOUR LIFE, RIGHT?

- 2 A. THAT'S CORRECT.
- 3 Q. AND WERE YOU WORRIED ABOUT YOUR FRIENDS AND YOUR
- 4 COWORKERS, HOW THEY THOUGHT ABOUT IT?
- 5 MR. MCMANIS: OBJECTION, LEADING.
- 6 THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
- 7 BY MS. NGUYEN:
- 8 Q. AFTER THE TERMINATION, DID YOUR FRIENDS KNOW THAT YOU HAD
- 9 BEEN FIRED FROM BAY 101?
- 10 A. I DIDN'T KNOW BECAUSE I RARELY CONDUCTED WITH MY FRIENDS
- 11 ABOUT THAT.
- 12 Q. WERE YOU WORRIED ABOUT WHAT YOUR COWORKERS THOUGHT ABOUT
- 13 YOUR TERMINATION?
- MR. MCMANIS: OBJECTION, LEADING.
- 15 THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
- 16 BY MS. NGUYEN:
- Q. DID YOU TALK TO ANY OF YOUR COWORKERS AT BAY 101 AFTER YOU
- 18 WERE TERMINATED?
- 19 A. NO.
- 20 Q. NOW, MS. DANG, IN TERMS OF YOUR MENTAL HEALTH NOW, COULD
- 21 YOU PLEASE TELL US HOW YOU'RE DOING NOW?
- 22 A. NOW I FEEL HAPPY AND MUCH BETTER BECAUSE I HAVE A JOB AND
- 23 I STUDY, TOO. I HAVE FRIENDS AND I FEEL MUCH BETTER.
- BUT IN MY SLEEPING, I STILL HAVE NIGHTMARES.
- 25 Q. YOU SAID YOU'RE STUDYING. ARE YOU TAKING CLASSES?

- 1 A. YES.
- 2 Q. AND WHAT KIND OF CLASSES ARE YOU TAKING?
- 3 A. ESL.
- 4 Q. AND ARE YOU STILL ON MEDICATIONS FOR DEPRESSION, MS. DANG?
- 5 A. THAT'S CORRECT.
- 6 MS. NGUYEN: THAT'S ALL OF THE QUESTIONS I HAVE FOR
- 7 THIS WITNESS, YOUR HONOR.
- 8 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. MR. MCMANIS, DO YOU HAVE
- 9 SOME QUESTIONS?
- 10 MR. MCMANIS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
- 11 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 12 BY MR. MCMANIS:
- 13 Q. GOOD MORNING, MS. DANG.
- 14 A. GOOD MORNING.
- 15 | Q. YOU AND I NEVER MET BEFORE THIS TRIAL; IS THAT CORRECT?
- 16 A. THAT'S CORRECT.
- 17 Q. YOUR LAWYER MENTIONED THAT AND MENTIONED YOUR MEDICATIONS.
- 18 ARE YOU TAKING MEDICATIONS TODAY?
- 19 A. NOT YET. USUALLY I TAKE THE MEDICATION AT NIGHT.
- 20 O. FINE. DID YOU TAKE IT LAST NIGHT?
- 21 A. YES.
- 22 Q. DO YOU FIND THAT THE MEDICATIONS HELP?
- 23 A. OKAY.
- Q. NOW, I WANT TO GO BACK TO THE BEGINNING WHEN YOU FIRST
- 25 BROUGHT THESE PROBLEMS WITH LUCIO TO THE ATTENTION OF

1 MANAGEMENT.

- 2 AND I'D LIKE YOU TO TAKE A LOOK AT EXHIBIT 1011.
- 3 AND, CINDY, IF YOU WOULD PUT THAT UP, PLEASE. WOULD YOU
- 4 BLOW THAT UP, PLEASE.
- 5 NOW, MS. DANG, DO YOU HAVE THAT IN FRONT OF YOU, MA'AM?
- 6 A. NO, NOT YET.
- 7 Q. I'M SORRY? NO, NOT YET?
- 8 A. NOT YET. OKAY. I'VE GOT IT.
- 9 Q. NOW, THIS REPORT THAT WE HAVE IN EVIDENCE REFERS TO A BAY
- 10 101 COOK THI MINH CUC DANG.
- 11 IS THAT YOU?
- 12 A. THAT'S CORRECT.
- Q. AND CAME TO THE SECURITY OFFICE ON APRIL 12, 2007, TO FILE
- 14 A COMPLAINT AGAINST COOK LUCIO SUAREZ.
- DO YOU RECALL GOING TO THE OFFICE?
- 16 A. YES, THAT'S CORRECT.
- 17 Q. AND IT MENTIONS PHONE CALLS ON APRIL 12TH, THAT MR. SUAREZ
- 18 WAS BOTHERING BY THE LINE COOK AREA, HE BECAME IRATE AND OPENED
- 19 THE METAL DOOR IN AN UNSAFE MANNER AND IT HIT THE BACK OF YOUR
- 20 RIGHT FOOT.
- 21 DID YOU TELL THE SECURITY PERSONNEL THAT?
- 22 A. YES, I DID.
- 23 O. AND IS THERE A SECOND PAGE TO THIS EXHIBIT? LET'S JUST
- 24 SEE. I WANT TO SEE IF WE CAN HAVE THE COMPLETE PAGE HERE.
- 25 I HAVE TO WAIT FOR MS. DANG'S OTHER INFORMATION.

1 NOW, YESTERDAY YOU TOLD US THAT MR. SUAREZ HAD THROWN A GLASS OF WATER IN YOUR FACE. 2 3 DO YOU REMEMBER THAT? 4 A. I DID NOT SAY THAT HE SPLASHED WATER INTO MY FACE. I ONLY 5 TOLD THAT THE WATER, LIKE, HE THROW THE WATER AND SOMEHOW THE 6 WATER SPLASHED INTO MY FACE. 7 Q. ALL RIGHT. SO IF I UNDERSTAND YOU CORRECTLY, HE DIDN'T 8 THROW THE WATER AT YOUR FACE BUT SOME OF IT GOT ON YOUR FACE; 9 IS THAT RIGHT? 10 A. YEAH. Q. AND IS THAT THE REASON THAT YOU DIDN'T MENTION THAT TO THE 11 12 SECURITY PERSON? 13 I ALREADY REPORTED THAT TO MR. GEORGE AND SO AT THAT TIME HE OPENED THE DOOR AND IT HIT MY RIGHT FOOT. 14 15 O. ALL RIGHT. LET'S LOOK -- IF YOU WOULD LOOK AT PAGE 1012, 16 JENNIFER GILBERT'S NOTES IN EVIDENCE, PLEASE. 17 MS. MCCLELEN: THE TOP PORTION? 18 MR. MCMANIS: IS THAT AS BIG AS YOU CAN GET IT? 19 MS. MCCLELEN: THAT PORTION OR THE WHOLE THING? 20 MR. MCMANIS: WELL, LET'S START AT THE TOP. 21 0. NOW, MS. DANG, THESE ARE THE NOTES FROM JENNIFER GILBERT. 22 THE FIRST ENTRY IN HER NOTES IS APRIL 12TH. 23 CUC WAS SENT TO SECURITY. EMPLOYEE INJURY PAPERWORK. 24 CUC WAS NOT GIVEN A WARNING ABOUT TALKING TO LUCIO. 25 AND THIS WAS ON A THURSDAY. DO YOU REMEMBER THIS HAPPENED

1 ON A THURSDAY?

- 2 A. I DON'T REMEMBER THE DATE.
- 3 Q. ALL RIGHT. LET'S GO TO THE NEXT ENTRY.

4 NOW, THIS IS FRIDAY THE 13TH, AND GILBERT REFERS TO

5 SPEAKING TO NICK ABOUT 11:00. CALLED CUC TO ASK WHAT HAD

6 HAPPENED THE PREVIOUS NIGHT. SHE STATED THAT LUCIO HAD BROUGHT

HER SOME WATER AND THAT WHEN SHE REFUSED IT, LUCIO BECAME MAD

8 AND THEN HIT HER FOOT WITH THE DOOR.

- 9 DO YOU SEE THAT?
- 10 A. YES, I SEE.
- 11 Q. AND DO YOU RECALL TALKING TO MS. GILBERT AND MR. ORTEGA ON
- 12 THAT FRIDAY?

7

- 13 A. I DON'T REMEMBER.
- 14 O. ALL RIGHT. SHE GOES ON TO SAY THAT NICK ORTEGA AND
- 15 JENNIFER GILBERT TOLD YOU THAT LUCIO WAS BEING SUSPENDED FOR
- 16 THREE DAYS.
- 17 DID THEY TELL YOU THAT?
- 18 A. NO.
- 19 Q. THEY DID NOT?
- 20 A. NO.
- 21 Q. ALL RIGHT. CUC ALSO TOLD THAT LUCIO WAS BOTHERING HER ALL
- 22 OF THE TIME AND FOLLOWS HER.
- 23 I TOLD HER IF LUCIO WAS BOTHERING HER DURING NON-WORK
- 24 HOURS, SHE NEEDED TO CONTACT THE POLICE.
- 25 I THINK YOU TOLD US TODAY THAT THAT'S SOMETHING THAT SHE

- 1 SAID TO YOU?
- 2 A. THAT'S CORRECT.
- 3 Q. THE LAST OF THE NOTES HERE IS APRIL 20TH, LETTER FROM CUC
- 4 IN MY BOX WHEN I ARRIVED AT 7:15 A.M.
- 5 DO YOU RECALL THE LETTER THAT THE LAWYER WROTE FOR YOU WAS
- 6 SENT TO JENNIFER GILBERT A WEEK AFTER YOUR CONVERSATION WITH
- 7 JENNIFER?
- 8 A. NO.
- 9 Q. YOU DO RECALL THAT A LAWYER PREPARED OR HELPED YOU PREPARE
- 10 A LETTER TO BAY 101?
- 11 A. I DO.
- MR. MCMANIS: COULD YOU PUT 1013 UP, PLEASE. AND
- 13 BLOW THAT UP, PLEASE, IF YOU WOULD, THE WHOLE LETTER.
- 14 O. THIS IS EXHIBIT 1013. DO YOU RECALL THAT THIS IS THE
- 15 LETTER THAT THE LAWYER HELPED YOU PREPARE?
- 16 A. THAT'S CORRECT.
- 17 Q. ALL RIGHT. WHAT WAS THE NAME OF THE LAWYER WHO HELPED YOU
- 18 PREPARE YOUR LETTER?
- 19 A. I JUST KNOW HIS FIRST NAME IS JOHN, BUT I DON'T KNOW HIS
- 20 LAST NAME.
- 21 Q. AND YOU DON'T REMEMBER WHETHER THAT LETTER WAS SENT TO
- JENNIFER GILBERT A WEEK AFTER YOUR FIRST MEETING WITH HER ABOUT
- 23 LUCIO?
- A. I DON'T REMEMBER, BUT I DO REMEMBER THAT I DID SEND A
- 25 LETTER TO H.R.

1 MR. MCMANIS: OKAY. WOULD YOU PUT JENNIFER'S NOTES

- BACK UP, PLEASE, 1012.
- 3 Q. YOU DO RECALL SPEAKING TO NICK AND JENNIFER THE NEXT DAY
- 4 AFTER THE -- YOUR FOOT WAS HURT, RIGHT?
- 5 A. COULD YOU PLEASE REPEAT THE QUESTION?
- 6 O. YES. WE SEE THAT LUCIO HURT YOUR FOOT AND YOU WENT TO
- 7 SECURITY?
- 8 A. THAT'S CORRECT.
- 9 Q. AND DO YOU REMEMBER THE NEXT DAY THAT YOU TALKED TO NICK
- 10 AND JENNIFER?
- 11 A. NO, I DID NOT TALK TO THEM. I ONLY REPORT TO GEORGE.
- 12 Q. WELL, LET ME ASK YOU THIS WAY, AND MAYBE WE CAN GET IT
- 13 THIS WAY.
- WOULD YOU PUT UP EXHIBIT 500, PLEASE, AND PAGE 8. AND THE
- 15 BOTTOM BULLET POINT, PLEASE.
- NOW, MS. DANG, THIS IS PAGE 8 FROM CAROLE EDMAN'S
- 17 INVESTIGATION REPORT. DO YOU RECALL TALKING TO MS. EDMAN?
- 18 A. YES.
- 19 Q. ALL RIGHT. AND WHEN YOU WERE INTERVIEWED BY MS. EDMAN,
- 20 THAT WAS FOR TWO DAYS?
- 21 A. THAT'S CORRECT.
- 22 | O. AND THAT WAS DONE AT YOUR LAWYER'S OFFICE?
- A. THAT'S CORRECT.
- Q. AND A VIETNAMESE INTERPRETER WAS PRESENT FOR THOSE
- 25 INTERVIEWS?

- 1 A. THAT'S CORRECT.
- 2 O. WAS THAT THE SAME LAWYER THAT HAD HELPED YOU TWO AND A
- 3 HALF YEARS EARLIER WITH YOUR LETTER OF APRIL 2007?
- 4 A. NO.
- 5 O. WHO WAS YOUR LAWYER THAT WAS WITH YOU DURING THE TWO DAYS
- 6 THAT YOU WERE INTERVIEWED BY CAROLE EDMAN?
- 7 A. STEVEN NGO, LAST NAME N-G-O. LAST NAME N-G-O.
- 8 Q. I'VE GOT THAT PART.
- 9 AND IT WAS AT MR. NGO'S OFFICE THAT THESE INTERVIEWS TOOK
- 10 PLACE FOR THESE 2 DAYS.
- 11 A. THAT'S CORRECT.
- 12 Q. THAT'S CORRECT.
- NOW, MS. EDMAN REPORTED THAT ON 11-3-09 THAT MS. DANG TOLD
- 14 THE INVESTIGATOR THAT MS. DANG EXPLAINED THE CIRCUMSTANCES
- 15 AROUND THE COMPLAINT THAT MS. DANG MADE IN 2007.
- 16 MS. GILBERT ARRANGED A MEETING WITH MS. DANG AND
- 17 MR. ORTEGA THE NEXT DAY.
- 18 DOES THAT HELP REFRESH YOUR MEMORY THAT YOU MET WITH NICK
- 19 AND JENNIFER THE DAY AFTER THE INCIDENT?
- 20 A. I CANNOT IMAGINE THE MEETING BECAUSE THE PAPER WAS
- 21 PREPARED BY HER AND SHE NEVER SHOWED ME, AND I NEVER HAVE SEEN
- THIS PAPER.
- 23 O. YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT CAROLE EDMAN'S REPORT?
- 24 A. YES.
- 25 Q. YOU UNDERSTAND THAT I'M ASKING YOU IF YOU TOLD MS. EDMAN

589 CROSS DANG 1 THAT MS. GILBERT ARRANGED A MEETING WITH YOU AND MR. ORTEGA THE 2 DAY AFTER YOUR COMPLAINT ABOUT LUCIO? 3 A. NO. I ONLY REMEMBER THAT I TALKED TO JOHN AFTER THIS 4 HAPPENED, AND JOHN ADVISED ME TO FIND A LAWYER BECAUSE MR. JOHN 5 WANTED TO CHANGE THE FILE. 6 Q. ALL RIGHT. LET'S GO ON THEN. 7 NOW, MS. EDMAN WROTE IN HER REPORT MS. DANG INDICATED, 8 QUOTE, "THEY WERE VERY CONCERNED, WANTED TO MEET IMMEDIATELY. 9 IT WAS THE NEXT DAY. WHEN I ARRIVED AT THE MEETING, I ASKED 10 JENNIFER WHY LUCIO WAS NOT THERE; WHY THE PERSON I COMPLAINED ABOUT WAS NOT PRESENT. 11 12 "JENNIFER SAID SHE WANTED TO KNOW WHAT I WANTED. JENNIFER 13 WAS VERY WORRIED." 14 NOW, DID YOU TELL MS. EDMAN THIS? 15 A. YES, I DID. 16 Q. SO SHE PUT THAT IN QUOTES, "THEY WERE VERY CONCERNED." 17 DID YOU TELL MS. EDMAN THAT WHEN YOU MET WITH NICK AND 18 JENNIFER, THEY WERE VERY CONCERNED? 19 THEY JUST CALLED ME AND TOLD ME THAT THERE WOULD BE A 20 MEETING AFTER I SENT A LETTER COMPLAINT ABOUT LUCIO THROW WATER

- AND HIT MY FOOD.
- 22 O. DID YOU TELL -- DID JENNIFER AND NICK SEEM VERY CONCERNED 23 WHEN THEY MET WITH YOU?
- 24 A. THAT'S CORRECT.

21

25 Q. AND DID THEY SAY THAT THEY WANTED TO MEET WITH YOU

- 1 IMMEDIATELY?
- 2 A. THEY DID NOT SAY THAT THEY WANTED TO MEET IMMEDIATELY, BUT
- 3 THEY JUST SAID THAT THEY WANTED TO SEE ME AFTER I GAVE THEM THE
- 4 LETTER COMPLAINING ABOUT LUCIO.
- 5 O. IS IT YOUR TESTIMONY THAT THEY DID NOT ASK TO SEE YOU
- 6 UNTIL THE LETTER?
- 7 A. THAT'S CORRECT.
- 8 Q. OKAY. DID YOU TELL CAROLE EDMAN THAT IT WAS THE NEXT DAY
- 9 AFTER YOU HAD MADE YOUR COMPLAINT?
- 10 A. THAT'S CORRECT.
- 11 Q. WHEN YOU GOT TO THE MEETING WITH NICK AND JENNIFER, DID
- 12 YOU ASK JENNIFER WHY LUCIO WAS NOT THERE?
- 13 A. I DID.
- 14 O. DID JENNIFER SAY THAT SHE WANTED TO KNOW WHAT YOU WANTED?
- 15 A. WHAT SHE SAID I DIDN'T KNOW BECAUSE THE INTERPRETER WAS
- 16 NOT A PROFESSIONAL INTERPRETER.
- 17 SHE SAID THAT JENNIFER AND JOHN WANT TO ASK ME WHAT I
- 18 WANTED NOW AND THEN.
- 19 AND THEN BEFORE I COULD ANSWER, SHE ASKED ME IF THEY,
- 20 LIKE, CHANGE ME TO THE MORNING SHIFT, WOULD I AGREE.
- 21 Q. WELL, THAT -- WE'LL GET TO THAT.
- 22 WHEN MS. EDMAN INTERVIEWED YOU AT YOUR LAWYER'S OFFICE,
- 23 THERE WAS A PROFESSIONAL INTERPRETER, WAS THERE NOT?
- 24 A. NO.
- 25 Q. YOUR LAWYER WAS THERE?

1 A. NO. I ONLY STATED THAT WHEN JOHN AND JENNIFER CALLED ME

- 2 TO THE MEETING, JENNIFER HAD AN INTERPRETER, BUT IT WAS NOT A
- 3 PROFESSIONAL INTERPRETER.
- 4 Q. I UNDERSTAND -- I THINK I UNDERSTAND.
- 5 BUT WHEN MS. EDMAN INTERVIEWED AT YOUR LAWYER'S OFFICE,
- 6 THERE WAS A PROFESSIONAL INTERPRETER?
- 7 A. THAT'S CORRECT.
- 8 Q. ALL RIGHT. SO WHEN YOU GAVE MS. EDMAN THIS STATEMENT,
- 9 YOUR LAWYER WAS PRESENT?
- 10 A. THAT'S CORRECT.
- 11 Q. AND THE PROFESSIONAL INTERPRETER WAS PRESENT?
- 12 A. THAT'S CORRECT.
- 0. AND WHAT YOU'RE SAYING HERE IS THAT WHEN YOU MET WITH
- 14 JENNIFER AND NICK, THERE WAS A BAY 101 EMPLOYEE WHO WAS THERE?
- 15 A. THAT'S CORRECT.
- 16 Q. WAS THAT A VIETNAMESE EMPLOYEE?
- 17 A. THAT'S CORRECT.
- 18 Q. NOW, YOU HAD WORKED AT THE BAY 101 FOR OVER THREE YEARS IN
- 19 NOVEMBER 2009, HAD YOU NOT?
- 20 A. THAT'S CORRECT.
- 21 Q. AND YOU HAD BEEN ON THE FLOOR FOR A NUMBER OF MONTHS?
- 22 A. THAT'S CORRECT.
- 23 O. AND YOU SERVED ENGLISH SPEAKING CUSTOMERS AS WELL AS
- 24 VIETNAMESE?
- 25 A. THAT'S CORRECT.

1 OKAY. IN ANY CASE, DID JENNIFER SEEM TO YOU TO BE VERY 2 WORRIED WHEN SHE WAS TALKING TO YOU? 3 A. I CANNOT SAY WHETHER SHE WAS WORRIED OR NOT BECAUSE I 4 COULD NOT READ HER MIND, BUT SHE DID CALL ME TO SEE HER AFTER 5 SHE RECEIVED THE LETTER. 6 DID YOU JUST SAY THAT YOU COULD NOT READ HER MIND? Ο. 7 A. NO. Q. YOU COULD NOT? 8 9 A. I COULD NOT. 10 Q. DID SHE ASK YOU WHAT YOU WANTED? 11 A. SHE ASKED ME, BUT I DID NOT UNDERSTAND. 12 BUT THE INTERPRETER INTERPRETED THAT SHE ASKED ME WHAT I 13 WANTED. 14 WELL, LET'S TAKE A LOOK AT YOUR DEPOSITION ON PAGE 183, 15 LINES 13 TO 22. 16 THE COURT: DO YOU NEED A BREAK? OKAY. THE INTERPRETER NEEDS A BREAK SO WE'LL TAKE A SHORT 17 18 BREAK. WE'LL BE IN RECESS FOR ABOUT 15 MINUTES. 19 THE INTERPRETER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 20 (RECESS FROM 9:31 A.M. UNTIL 9:51 A.M.) 21 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. GO AHEAD. 22 MR. MCMANIS: I'M GLAD WE HAVE THE 18 INTERPRETING 23 HERE, YOUR HONOR. MS. LEBRUN IS BACK. 24 Q. DO YOU RECALL MEETING WITH JOHN ST. CROIX AND MS. GILBERT?

25

A. YES, I DO.

Q. AND DO YOU RECALL THAT JOHN ST. CROIX SAID TO YOU THAT HE 1 2 WOULD CHANGE YOUR SHIFT IF YOU WANTED? 3 A. THAT'S RIGHT. Q. LET'S TAKE A LOOK AT YOUR DEPOSITION TESTIMONY PAGE 183, 4 5 LINES 13 TO 22. 6 WOULD YOU BLOW THAT UP, PLEASE. 7 COULD I HAVE JUST A MOMENT, YOUR HONOR. I SEEM TO HAVE 8 GOTTEN THE WRONG PAGE HERE. 9 I APOLOGIZE. I MEANT PAGE 186, 13 TO 22. I CAN'T READ MY 10 OWN WRITING. 11 QUESTION AT LINE 13, PAGE 186, "WHAT DID YOU SAY WHEN YOU 12 WERE ASKED 'WHAT DO YOU WANT TO DO'? 13 "ANSWER: I SAID THAT I DID NOT WANT TO WORK TOGETHER WITH 14 LUCIO ANYMORE. 15 "QUESTION: DID SOMEONE RESPOND TO THAT, SOMEONE WHO WAS 16 IN THE MEETING? "ANSWER: AND JOHN SAID THAT IF I DID NOT WANT TO WORK 17 18 WITH HIM, HE WOULD CHANGE MY SHIFT. "QUESTION: AND DID HE DO THAT? 19 20 "ANSWER: YES." 21 NOW, THAT WAS YOUR TESTIMONY THAT YOU GAVE AT YOUR 22 DEPOSITION; IS THAT RIGHT? 23 A. YES. 24 Q. AND WAS THAT TRUE? 25 A. CORRECT.

1 NOW, YOU MENTION SOMETHING ABOUT A -- WELL, ALL RIGHT. Q. NOW, WHILE WE HAVE YOUR DEPOSITION ON THE SCREEN, CINDY, 2 3 IF YOU WOULD PUT UP PAGE 192, 18 TO 21. 4 MS. NGUYEN: MAY I --5 MR. MCMANIS: SURE. I APOLOGIZE. 6 MS. NGUYEN: 192? MR. MCMANIS: 18 TO 21. 8 MS. NGUYEN: OKAY. 9 BY MR. MCMANIS: 10 Q. THIS WAS THE QUESTION PUT TO YOU AT YOUR DEPOSITION: 11 "QUESTION: OKAY. AND THERE WASN'T ANYTHING THAT HAPPENED WITH LUCIO SINCE 2007 WHEN YOUR SHIFT WAS CHANGED AND WHEN YOU 12 13 WROTE THIS LETTER, CORRECT? "ANSWER: OUESTION." 14 15 THAT WAS YOUR TESTIMONY? 16 A. YES. Q. SO NO PROBLEMS WITH LUCIO AFTER 2007 WHEN YOUR SHIFT WAS 17 18 CHANGED AND YOU WROTE THE LETTER; CORRECT? 19 A. CORRECT. 20 O. ALL RIGHT. AND THEN YOU WERE ASKED -- AND I'M NOT GOING 21 TO PUT THIS UP UNTIL ANN HAS A CHANCE TO LOOK AT IT -- BUT YOUR 22 DEPOSITION PAGE 299, LINES 10 TO 15. 23 MS. NGUYEN: SIDE-BAR, YOUR HONOR? 24 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 25 (SIDE-BAR OFF THE RECORD.)

1 MR. MCMANIS: ALL RIGHT. WOULD YOU PUT THAT UP, 2 PLEASE. 3 THE CLERK: GO AHEAD. JUROR: THANK YOU. 4 5 BY MR. MCMANIS: 6 Q. NOW, MS. DANG, THIS AGAIN IS FROM YOUR DEPOSITION: 7 "OUESTION: WOULD YOU COME BACK TO WORK AND WORK THE SAME 8 SHIFT AS LUCIO? 9 "ANSWER: IF HE DOESN'T BROTHER ME. AFTER THAT LETTER, HE DIDN'T DO ANYTHING TO ME. 10 11 "QUESTION: SO YOUR ANSWER IS YES? "ANSWER: YES." 12 13 IS THAT YOUR TESTIMONY? 14 A. YES. O. AND WHEN YOU TESTIFIED AT YOUR DEPOSITION THAT AFTER THE 15 16 LETTER OF APRIL 2007, LUCIO DIDN'T DO ANYTHING TO YOU, WAS THAT 17 TRUE? 18 A. CORRECT. 19 Q. NOW, WHEN YOU SENT THE LETTER ON OCTOBER 13, 2009, 20 EXHIBIT 1016 -- WOULD YOU PUT THAT UP SO WE CAN SEE THAT, 2.1 PLEASE. 22 THIS IS THE LETTER DATED 10-13-09. YOU INCLUDED IN THAT 23 LETTER A COPY OF YOUR APRIL 2007 LETTER, HAD YOU NOT? DID YOU 24 NOT? 25 A. COUNSEL, COULD WE PLEASE GET TO THE EXHIBIT.

1 Q. YES, WE CAN. WOULD YOU GO TO THE ENCLOSURE, PLEASE. 2 WHAT BATES NUMBER IS THAT, PLEASE? 3 MS. MCCLELEN: 2180. MR. MCMANIS: 2180. 4 5 MS. MCCLELEN: IT'S THE SIXTH PAGE. 6 MR. MCMANIS: SIXTH PAGE. 7 O. SO WHEN YOU SENT YOUR LETTER TO MR. WERNER ON 8 OCTOBER 13TH, 2009, REQUESTING AN INVESTIGATION OF YOUR 9 SUSPENSION FOR THE INCIDENT INVOLVING LINDA ELIAS, YOU INCLUDED 10 IN THE PACKAGE THE APRIL 2007 LETTER; CORRECT? 11 A. CORRECT. 12 Q. ALL RIGHT. AND WHO HELPED YOU DO THIS LETTER OF 13 OCTOBER 13, 2009? A. THIS LETTER WAS FOR LUCIO. 14 15 O. I APOLOGIZE. I'M TALKING NOW ABOUT THE -- PUT UP THE 16 FIRST PAGE OF 1016, PLEASE. I'M TALKING NOW ABOUT THE LETTER OF OCTOBER 13TH, 2009, TO 17 18 MR. WERNER. DID SOMEBODY HELP YOU WITH THAT? 19 A. MY HUSBAND. 20 O. AND WHAT IS HIS NAME? 21 A. JASON. 22 O. HE'S YOUR SECOND HUSBAND? 23 A. THIRD. 24 Q. THIRD?

25

A. YES.

Q. OKAY. SO THIS WAS NOT SOMETHING THAT THE LAWYER HELPED 1 YOU WITH, THIS WAS SOMETHING THAT YOUR HUSBAND HELPED YOU WITH? 2 3 A. CORRECT. 4 Q. AND WHEN YOU WERE INTERVIEWED BY CAROLE EDMAN, DO YOU 5 RECALL AT YOUR LAWYER'S OFFICE WITH THE PROFESSIONAL 6 INTERPRETER? 7 A. YES. 8 O. YOU DIDN'T TELL HER ABOUT ANY COMPLAINTS REGARDING LUCIO 9 SINCE APRIL OF 2007; CORRECT? 10 A. CORRECT. 11 Q. AND THAT WAS ABOUT TWO AND A HALF YEARS; CORRECT? 12 A. CORRECT. 13 MR. MCMANIS: YOUR HONOR, MY FRIEND ASKED THAT IF WE INTERRUPT HER CLIENT'S TESTIMONY BECAUSE SHE HAS AN EXPERT THAT 14 15 NEEDS TO BE TAKEN OUT OF ORDER, AND I HAVE NO OBJECTION TO 16 THAT. 17 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. BEFORE WE TAKE A BREAK, WHY 18 DON'T YOU LOOK AT THIS JUROR QUESTION BECAUSE MAYBE IT MIGHT 19 MAKE SENSE. 20 (PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.) 21 MR. MCMANIS: I THINK I CAN CLARIFY THAT. IF SHE 22 WANTS TO TAKE THE EXPERT FIRST? 23 THE COURT: OKAY. 24 MR. MCMANIS: OKAY. FINE. LET ME GET MY STUFF OUT 25 OF THE WAY.

1 THE COURT: WE'LL DEAL WITH THE QUESTION LATER THIS MORNING, BUT THE NEXT WITNESS APPARENTLY HAS SOME CONFLICTS SO 2 3 WE NEED TO GET HIM FINISHED. MS. NGUYEN: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 4 5 THE PLAINTIFF WOULD LIKE TO CALL AS THEIR NEXT WITNESS 6 DR. PATRICK MASON. AND THANK YOU FOR ACCOMMODATING US. DR. MASON HAS TO LEAVE TOWN. 8 THE CLERK: COME FORWARD, AND I'LL SWEAR YOU IN. 9 RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND, PLEASE. 10 (PLAINTIFF'S WITNESS, PATRICK MASON, WAS SWORN.) THE WITNESS: I DO. 11 12 THE CLERK: THANK YOU. TAKE THE STAND, PLEASE. 13 STATE YOUR FULL NAME AND SPELL YOUR LAST NAME. THE WITNESS: WHICH CHAIR SHOULD I SIT IN? WHICH IS 14 15 CLOSER TO THE MIKE? WHICH ONE WORKS BETTER FOR SOUND? 16 THE COURT: THAT ONE BECAUSE THE OTHER ONE MAY FALL 17 OFF THE PLATFORM (INDICATING). 18 THE WITNESS: OKAY. MY NAME IS PATRICK MASON, 19 M-A-S-O-N. 20 THE CLERK: THANK YOU. 2.1 DIRECT EXAMINATION 22 BY MS. NGUYEN: 23 Q. GOOD MORNING, DR. MASON. 24 A. GOOD MORNING. 25 Q. THANK YOU FOR COMING TODAY BRIGHT AND EARLY, AND I KNOW

1 YOU HAVE TO LEAVE SO WE'RE TAKING YOU OUT OF ORDER SO THAT YOU CAN GET YOUR TESTIMONY DONE AND LEAVE. 2 3 A. THANK YOU. Q. SIR, WHAT IS YOUR OCCUPATION? 4 5 A. I'M AN ECONOMIST. 6 Q. AND WOULD YOU PLEASE DESCRIBE TO THE JURY BRIEFLY YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND? 8 MR. MCMANIS: YOUR HONOR, I'M HAPPY TO STIPULATE TO 9 DR. MASON'S CREDENTIALS. IN FACT, I HAVE ENGAGED HIM MYSELF IN 10 THE PAST. MS. NGUYEN: AND, YOUR HONOR, WE WILL ASK THAT HIS 11 12 CV BE ENTERED INTO EVIDENCE, THAT'S EXHIBIT 26. 13 MR. MCMANIS: NO OBJECTION. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. IF THAT'S HOW YOU WANT TO 14 15 HANDLE IT, THAT'S FINE. 16 (PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 26 WAS RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE.) 17 BY MS. NGUYEN: Q. I WOULD LIKE TO ASK HIM A LITTLE BIT ABOUT HIS BACKGROUND, 18 19 YOUR HONOR. 20 SO, DR. MASON, WOULD YOU PLEASE LET US KNOW BRIEFLY ABOUT 21 YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND. I KNOW YOUR CV WILL BE PROVIDED 22 TO THE JURORS IN THE JURY DELIBERATION ROOM. 23 A. OKAY. I WENT TO COLLEGE AT SANTA CLARA, AND THEN I WENT

TO GRADUATE SCHOOL AT THE UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO, BOULDER,

WHERE I RECEIVED A MASTER'S DEGREE AND A DOCTORATE IN

24

25

1 ECONOMICS.

2.1

2 Q. AND WOULD YOU PLEASE LET US KNOW -- JUST GIVE US A QUICK
3 SUMMARY OF YOUR PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE, PLEASE?

A. I FIRST STARTED WORKING FOR THE AFL-CIO, AMERICAN

FEDERATION OF LABOR CONGRESS AND INDUSTRIAL ORGANIZATIONS, THE

UMBRELLA ORGANIZATION OF LABOR UNION OF THE UNITED STATES AS A

RESEARCH ECONOMIST.

AND THEN I CAME BACK HOME TO THE BAY AREA HERE AND I
BECAME THE RESEARCH DIRECTOR FOR THE CALIFORNIA LABOR
FEDERATION, WHICH IS THE AFL-CIO OF CALIFORNIA. I DID THAT FOR
ABOUT FOUR YEARS.

AND THEN I BECAME THE CHIEF ECONOMIST FOR OUR REGIONAL GOVERNMENT, ABAG, ASSOCIATION OF BAY AREA GOVERNMENTS. IT'S AN ORGANIZATION OF THE NINE COUNTIES AND ROUGHLY HUNDRED CITIES IN THE BAY AREA FOR PLANNING PURPOSES.

AND THEN I WENT ON MY OWN AND BECAME A CONSULTING

ECONOMIST, AND I HAVE BEEN PRIMARILY WORKING, RUNNING THE

CALIFORNIA FOUNDATION ON THE ENVIRONMENT AND THE ECONOMY WHICH

IS A NONPROFIT THAT'S COMPOSED OF BUSINESS, LABOR AND

ENVIRONMENTAL LEADERS. IT'S A NON-PARTISAN. AND WE WORK ON

PUBLIC POLICY ISSUES RELATING TO ENERGY AND TRANSPORTATION,

WATER, AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS.

BY "WORKING" I MEAN THAT WE ASSEMBLE CONFERENCES WITH

STATE LEGISLATORS, REGULATORS, THE GOVERNMENT PEOPLE, AND THEN

A MIX OF BUSINESS, LABOR AND ENVIRONMENTAL LEADERS WORKING ON

PRAGMATIC SOLUTIONS AND TRYING TO DEVELOP CONSENSUS ON THESE
LONG-TERM BIG PICTURE INFRASTRUCTURE ISSUES.

I DO THAT ABOUT 75 PERCENT OF MY TIME. THE OTHER

- O. AND, DR. MASON, DO YOU HAVE ANY SPECIALTIES?
- 6 A. IN ECONOMICS I'VE SPECIALIZED IN LABOR ECONOMICS.

25 PERCENT I WORK AS A FORENSIC ECONOMIST.

- O. AND WHAT IS LABOR ECONOMICS?
- A. LABOR ECONOMICS IS A SPECIALTY THAT TAKES THE SCIENCE OF ECONOMICS AND METHODOLOGIES AND APPLIES THEM DIRECTLY TO THE LABOR FORCE, TO WAGES, TO UNEMPLOYMENT, TO ISSUES RELATING TO ALSO PRODUCTIVITY AND THE IMPACT OF COLLECTIVE BARGAINING,
- THE -- YOU KNOW, DIFFERENT INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENTS REGARDING

  THE LABOR FORCE AND UNEMPLOYMENT AND EMPLOYMENT.
- Q. AND I THINK YOU SAID, BUT IS PART OF YOUR WORK NOW AS A FORENSIC ECONOMIST?
- 16 A. YES.

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

21

22

23

24

- 17 Q. AND WHAT DOES A FORENSIC ECONOMIST DO?
- A. WELL, A FORENSIC ECONOMIST TAKES THE SCIENCE AND THE

  METHODOLOGY OF ECONOMICS AND APPLIES IT TO SITUATIONS OF

  LITIGATION SUCH AS WE HAVE HERE TODAY.
  - PRIMARILY WHAT THE FORENSIC ECONOMIST DOES IS DOES A

    CALCULATION OF DAMAGES, OF LOST EARNING DAMAGES, AND PROVIDES A

    METHODOLOGY ABOUT HOW TO GO ABOUT THAT OF LOST PAST AND PRESENT

    VALUE AND LOST FUTURE.
- 25 Q. AND, DR. MASON, YOU HAVE BEEN QUALIFIED TO TESTIFY IN

1 COURT IN THE PAST?

- 2 A. I HAVE.
- 3 O. AND I BELIEVE MY OPPOSING COUNSEL HAS SAID THAT HE
- 4 RETAINED YOU IN THE PAST AS WELL?
- 5 A. YES.
- 6 Q. AND YOU HAVE WORKED WITH MY LAW FIRM, ROBINSON & WOOD, AS
- 7 WELL IN THE PAST?
- 8 A. YES, I HAVE.
- 9 Q. AND, DR. MASON, WHAT IS YOUR RATE IN THIS CASE?
- 10 A. MY RATE?
- 11 Q. YES.
- 12 A. FOR CONSULTATION, RESEARCH, PREPARATION, CALCULATIONS I
- 13 CHARGE \$400 AN HOUR AND FOR DEPOSITION AND FOR COURT TIME I
- 14 CHARGE 500 AN HOUR.
- 15 Q. AND WHAT WERE YOU ASKED TO DO IN THIS CASE, DR. MASON?
- 16 A. I WAS ASKED TO CALCULATE THE LOST EARNINGS OF MS. DANG AND
- 17 UP TO THE PRESENT TIME AND THEN MAKE A PRESENT VALUE
- 18 CALCULATION OF LOST FUTURE EARNINGS BASED ON FACTS AND
- 19 ASSUMPTIONS IN THE CASE.
- 20 O. AND HOW MUCH TIME HAVE YOU SPENT WORKING ON THE CASE?
- 21 A. ABOUT TEN HOURS.
- 22 Q. AND THIS IS PART OF WHAT A FORENSIC ECONOMIST DOES?
- 23 A. YES.
- Q. AND HOW DO YOU GO ABOUT MAKING THE CALCULATIONS THAT YOU
- 25 HAVE TO MAKE IN THIS CASE?

WELL, YOU START LOOKING AT WAGE HISTORY. IF YOU LOOK AT 1 2 LOST EARNINGS, YOU FIRST START WITH WHAT WAS THE PERSON 3 EARNING? SO WE TAKE A RECENT HISTORY OF THE EARNINGS AND LOOK AT THAT AS THE BASE. 4 5 AND THEN YOU LOOK FROM THE EVENT, THE DATE OF TERMINATION 6 FORWARD, WHAT HAS THAT PERSON ACTUALLY MADE? AND WHAT IS THE 7 DIFFERENCE? 8 AND SO IT'S PRETTY SIMPLE. IN THE PAST YOU JUST LOOK AT WHAT THEY WERE MAKING AND HAD THEY CONTINUED TO MAKE THAT UP TO 9 10 THE PRESENT TIME AND THEN SUBTRACT OUT WHAT SHE ACTUALLY MADE. AND THEN YOU HAVE A LOST PAST. 11 12 IT'S A LITTLE -- IT'S TRICKIER GOING FORWARD. WE HAVE --13 THERE'S ESSENTIALLY FOUR ELEMENTS THAT AN ECONOMIST -- ANY 14 ECONOMIST NEEDS TO CONSIDER. 15 NUMBER ONE IS THAT BASE. THAT IS TYPICALLY ESTABLISHED BY THE RECENT HISTORY OF WHAT THE PERSON WAS EARNING. SO THAT'S 16 17 THE FIRST. 18 THE SECOND IS TIME. HOW LONG IS THE FUTURE? THE WAY WE 19 ANSWER THAT QUESTION IS THAT THERE ARE STANDARD TABLES, 20 ACTUARIAL TABLES CALLED WORK LIFE HISTORY ESTIMATES -- I'M 21 SORRY, WORK LIFE ESTIMATES. 22 SO BASED ON HER GENDER AND AGE AND EDUCATION, THESE TABLES 23 ARE USED BY ALL ECONOMISTS. THEY'RE IN THE FORENSIC 24 LITERATURE. IT TELLS US THAT ON AVERAGE A PERSON AT THE TIME

OF THE TERMINATION, A WOMAN WITH HER EDUCATION, HIGH SCHOOL

25

DEGREE AND HER AGE, WOULD WORK ON AVERAGE ANOTHER SO MANY 1 YEARS. I THINK IN THIS CASE IT'S TEN POINT SOMETHING. 2 3 AND THEN SOME OF THAT TIME HAS BEEN EATEN UP BY THE PAST. 4 SO THE FUTURE IS REALLY WHAT IS LEFT OVER AFTER WE HAVE 5 CONSIDERED THE PAST. 6 AND THEN IT GETS A LITTLE MORE COMPLICATED. INCOME TENDS 7 TO GO UP OVER TIME. SO WHILE WE CAN LOOK AT WHAT SHE WAS MAKING AT THE TIME, AS INCOMES GO UP, WE HAVE TO ACCOUNT FOR 8 9 THE FACT THAT SHE PROBABLY WOULD HAVE MADE MORE, NOT A LOT 10 MORE, BUT MORE. AND SO WE HAVE TO FACTOR IN WHAT IS CALLED A WAGE GROWTH 11 12 FACTOR, WHICH WOULD MAKE THE AMOUNT LARGER. THE LARGER THE 13 GROWTH FACTOR, THE LARGER THE LOSS. SO THAT'S THE THIRD 14 ELEMENT. 15 AND THEN FINALLY WE NEED AN INTEREST RATE TO USE TO 16 DISCOUNT THE FUTURE INCOME LOSS TO ITS PRESENT VALUE. 17 SO PRESENT VALUE IS ESSENTIALLY, IT'S THE OPPOSITE OF 18 COMPOUNDING. SO IF YOU HAVE \$100 IN THE BANK AND IT GETS 19 3 PERCENT INTEREST, IT'S \$103 AT THE END OF YEAR. AND THEN AT

THE END OF TWO YEARS IT'S \$106 AND SOME ODD CENTS BECAUSE OF COMPOUNDING.

20

21

22

23

24

25

DISCOUNTING IS THE OPPOSITE. IT SAYS HOW MUCH DO YOU NEED TO PUT IN THE BANK TODAY AT 3 PERCENT INTEREST TO BECOME \$100 IN THE FUTURE?

WELL, YOU DON'T NEED TO PUT IN THE WHOLE \$100. YOU CAN

1 PUT IN LESS. ROUGHLY \$97. AND IF IT GAINS INTEREST A YEAR 2 FROM NOW, IT BECOMES THAT \$100. 3 SO PRESENT VALUE CALCULATION IS FINDING OUT WHAT YOU NEED 4 TO COME UP WITH TODAY SO IT GAINS INTEREST AND IT BECOMES THESE 5 NUMBERS IN THE FUTURE. 6 AND YOU DO THAT BY -- YOU HAVE TO PICK AN INTEREST RATE. 7 THE LARGER THE INTEREST RATE, THE HARDER IT WORKS, THE LESS MONEY YOU NEED TO PUT AWAY TODAY. AND THE LOWER THE INTEREST 8 9 RATE, THE MORE YOU NEED TO PUT AWAY. 10 SO THOSE LAST TWO FACTORS, THE WAGE GROWTH FACTOR MAKES 11 THE LOSS BIGGER, THE WAGE GROWTH FACTOR, AND THEN THE DISCOUNT 12 RATE MAKES IT SMALLER. 13 SO WHAT REALLY MATTERS FOR THE ECONOMIST IS THE DIFFERENCE 14 BETWEEN THE TWO, WHICH IS BIGGER, THE WAGE GROWTH FACTOR OR THE 15 DISCOUNT? 16 WELL, BASED ON ECONOMIC THEORY AND BASED ON LONG-TERM 17 STATISTICS ON AVERAGE THEY'RE EQUAL AND SO THEY OFFSET EACH 18 OTHER COMPLETELY. THEY'RE NOT EQUAL IN ANY GIVEN YEAR BUT OVER 19 TIME THEY'RE EQUAL. THEY HAVE ABOUT THE SAME RELATIVE SIZE. 20 THEY MOVE TOGETHER. 21 SO OUR BEST GUIDE TO AN UNKNOWN FUTURE REALLY IS TO USE 22 THAT RELATIONSHIP OF EQUALITY, WHICH MEANS THAT THEY OFFSET 23 EACH OTHER. 24 SO THAT THIRD FACTOR, WHICH IS THE WAGE GROWTH AND THE

DISCOUNT RATE, THEY END UP DROPPING OUT OF THE EQUATION.

1 SO WHAT WOULD HAVE BEEN A LONGER, MORE COMPLEX CALCULATION 2 OF INFLATING AND THEN DISCOUNTING OVER THE FUTURE YEARS, 3 REDUCED TO A VERY SIMPLE ARITHMETIC CALCULATION OF THE LOSS IN 4 THE PRESENT YEAR, MULTIPLIED BY THE YEARS IN THE FUTURE. 5 AND WHAT THAT GIVES YOU IS A WAGE GROWTH ADJUSTED PRESENT 6 VALUE. SO IT IS A PRESENT VALUE CALCULATION. AND IT'S 7 ESSENTIALLY COMING UP WITH A LUMP SUM THAT YOU PUT AWAY IN THE 8 BANK, IT CAN PAY OFF THE LOSS IN THE FIRST YEAR AND THE REST 9 STAYS IN THE BANK. 10 THE NEXT YEAR IT PAYS OUT WHATEVER THE GENERAL INCREASE WAS ACROSS THE UNITED STATES. THE REST STAYS IN THE BANK AND 11 12 GAINS INTEREST. 13 SO THAT AT THE END OF HER WORK LIFE THERE IS ZERO MONEY LEFT IN THE FUND. IT'S JUST DESIGNED TO MATCH -- TO MAKE WHOLE 14 15 IN TERMS OF WHAT THE LOSS HAD OCCURRED FOR THE INCIDENT. AND THAT'S IN GENERAL WHAT A FORENSIC ECONOMIST DOES? 16 Q. 17 A. YES. 18 AND IN THIS SPECIFIC CASE WHAT DID YOU DO, DR. MASON, IN 19 TERMS OF THE WORK THAT YOU CONDUCTED IN THIS CASE? 20 WELL, WE FIRST START WITH SOME BASIC FACTS OF HER DATE OF 21 BIRTH --22 O. ACTUALLY, I DO THINK THAT WE HAVE A BOARD HERE WITH SOME 23 INFORMATION THAT YOU CAN REFER TO. 24 A. OKAY.

MS. NGUYEN: YOUR HONOR, WE WOULD LIKE TO HAVE THAT

1 ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 35 AND 36, WHICH IS 2 THE BOARDS. 3 WE HAVE PROVIDED A COPY TO COUNSEL. MR. MCMANIS: I'M SORRY. I WAS WEB SPINNING. WHAT 4 5 WAS THE QUESTION? 6 MS. NGUYEN: THESE ARE THE BOARDS EXHIBIT 35 AND 36 7 FROM HIS REPORTS. 8 MR. MCMANIS: FINE. NO OBJECTION. 9 THE COURT: SHE'S OFFERED THEM INTO EVIDENCE. MR. MCMANIS: YES, THAT'S FINE. 10 11 THE COURT: 35 AND 36 ARE ADMITTED. 12 (PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBITS 35 AND 36 WERE RECEIVED IN 13 EVIDENCE.) BY MS. NGUYEN: 14 15 Q. DR. MASON, YOU MAY WANT TO COME FORWARD AND USE THESE 16 BOARDS UP HERE. 17 A. IS IT SAFE? 18 Q. THIS IS FOR YOU. 19 A. THANK YOU. 20 O. SO YOU WERE TELLING US ABOUT SOME OF THE FACTS THAT YOU 21 USED IN DOING YOUR CALCULATION FOR THIS CASE? 22 A. CORRECT. SO WE HAVE TO START WITH HER DATE OF BIRTH, AND 23 THEN WE LOOK AT THE DATE OF TERMINATION, AND THE DATE OF TRIAL, 24 HER EDUCATION. 25 AND BASED ON HER AGE AND THE DATE OF LOSS, WHICH IS 53 AND

A HALF YEARS, WE LOOK UP IN THE LITERATURE WHAT THE TABLE SAID

AS TO WHAT HER -- THE YEARS IN THE FUTURE THAT SHE WOULD WORK

FROM THAT DATE ON. IT DOESN'T MEAN THAT SHE'S GOING TO WORK

EVERY YEAR, BUT IT JUST MEANS THAT MANY MORE YEARS IN THE

FUTURE.

SO IT INCLUDES PERIODS OF ILLNESS OR SEPARATION FROM THE LABOR FORCE OR UNEMPLOYMENT, BUT ON AVERAGE A FEMALE WITH A HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA AT AGE 53 IS GOING TO WORK ANOTHER 10.26 YEARS, AND THAT'S FROM THE DATE OF THE TERMINATION.

I LOOKED AT HER RECENT EARNING HISTORY LEADING UP TO THAT AND JUST AVERAGED IT. SO IT AVERAGED ABOUT 27,340.

AND THEN SHE HAD FRINGE BENEFITS BY THE UNION CONTRACT.

SO THERE WAS \$3.73 AN HOUR FOR HEALTH AND WELFARE FOR EVERY

HOUR THAT SHE WORKED AND \$0.40 FOR THE PENSION.

AND THEN I LOOKED AT HER LAST YEAR FROM THE PAY STUBS AND FIGURED OUT THAT IF SHE HAD WORKED -- IF SHE DIDN'T WORK IN JANUARY, AND SHE MISSED THE LAST COUPLE WEEKS IN DECEMBER, BUT IF SHE HAD WORKED THAT WHOLE YEAR IT WOULD HAVE WORKED OUT TO 1851 HOURS. A FULL YEAR, FULL-TIME 40 HOURS A WEEK, 52 WEEKS A YEAR IS 2080. AND SO THIS WAS THE PACE THAT SHE WAS WORKING IN THAT LAST YEAR ABOUT 1851.

AND THEN WE LOOKED AT WHAT SHE ACTUALLY DID EARN SINCE THE TERMINATION AND THESE AMOUNTS HERE 3824 AND 2189.

AND THEN FINALLY SHE'S CURRENTLY EARNING \$12 AN HOUR AND IS GETTING ABOUT 15 HOURS A WEEK, AND SHE DOES THAT ALL YEAR

LONG AND THAT'S JUST OVER 9,000 A YEAR. 1 2 SO CURRENTLY IN THE SITUATION SHE'S MAKING AT A PACE OF 9,360 A YEAR. SO THESE WERE THE BASIC FACTS THAT GO INTO THE 3 4 CALCULATION. 5 Q. AND THEN IN ADDITION TO THE FACTS, WERE THERE ASSUMPTIONS 6 THAT YOU MADE IN ORDER TO CALCULATE THE DAMAGES IN THIS CASE? 7 A. CORRECT. SO IN ORDER TO -- IF THE JURY FINDS LIABILITY, THEN ANSWER THE OUESTION WHAT DOES THAT -- WHAT DID SHE LOSE? 8 9 HOW MUCH IS IT? 10 WELL, I MADE THIS CALCULATION BASED ON THAT HAD IT NOT BEEN FOR THE TERMINATION, SHE WOULD HAVE CONTINUED TO WORK, 11 12 EARN WHAT SHE WAS EARNING WITH MINOR INCREASES, WHATEVER THE 13 GENERAL INCREASES ARE NATIONALLY. SHE WOULD HAVE WORKED FOR 14 HER WORK LIFE ESTIMATE FOR ANOTHER 10.26 YEARS. 15 SHE WOULD HAVE CONTINUED TO GET THOSE FRINGE BENEFITS, AND THAT AMOUNTS TO ABOUT 7,000 -- THE VALUE OF THOSE ARE 7,645 A 16 17 YEAR PER THE CONTRACT RATE BASED ON 1851 HOURS A YEAR. 18 AND THEN GOING FORWARD, SHE'S GOING TO CONTINUE TO EARN 19 WHAT SHE'S EARNING. SHE IS WHERE SHE IS. SO SHE'S GOING TO BE 20 MAKING \$12 A YEAR. AND THAT, OF COURSE, GOES UP, TOO. THAT 21 GOES UP OVER TIME. AND SO WE USE THE SAME METHOD FOR THAT. 22 AND, ONCE AGAIN, SHE'S GOING TO DO THAT UNTIL THE END OF 23 HER WORK LIFE ESTIMATE. 24 Q. AND SO BASED ON THOSE FACTS AND ASSUMPTIONS, HAVE YOU 25 ARRIVED AT AN OPINION IN THIS CASE?

- 1 A. YES.
- 2 O. AND WHAT IS THE OPINION THAT YOU ARRIVED AT?
- 3 A. MY OPINION IS THAT IF YOU ADD UP THE PAST LOSS AND DO A
- 4 PRESENT VALUE CALCULATION, ADJUSTED FUTURE WAGE GROWTH, THE
- 5 TOTAL IS 286,932.
- 6 O. IS THAT WITH THE OFFSETTING OF THE INCOME THAT SHE IS
- 7 EARNING?
- 8 A. THAT'S OFFSETTING THE INCOME THAT SHE DID MAKE UP TO THE
- 9 PRESENT TIME AND OFFSETTING BY THE MONEY THAT THE INCOME THAT
- 10 SHE WOULD CONTINUE TO MAKE AT THE SAME RATE WHERE SHE'S WORKING
- 11 NOW.
- 12 Q. AND SO HOW MUCH IS HER LOSS PAST EARNINGS?
- 13 A. ALL RIGHT. THE FIRST PART WE -- IF YOU TAKE THE 20 -- THE
- 14 AVERAGE OF 27,340 AND YOU ADD THE VALUE OF THE BENEFIT IS ON AN
- 15 ANNUAL BENEFITS, THE 7,645, THAT GIVES YOU, THAT GIVES YOU
- 16 34,985, ALMOST 35,000 A YEAR IS THE VALUE OF HER PACKAGE OF
- 17 EARNINGS AND BENEFITS.
- 18 FROM THE DATE OF TERMINATION TO THE DATE OF TRIAL IS
- 3.36 YEARS AND SO YOU JUST SIMPLY MULTIPLY THAT, AND THAT'S
- 20 117,550.
- 21 Q. THAT'S THE LOST PAST EARNINGS?
- 22 A. WELL, I HAVE NOT ADJUSTED FOR THE MONIES THAT SHE HAS
- 23 EARNED. WE DO THAT AT THE END. BUT THAT'S WHAT SHE HAS LOST
- 24 SO FAR FOR THE PAST.
- 25 Q. AND WHAT ABOUT THE FUTURE LOST EARNINGS?

1 IF THE WORK LIFE ESTIMATE IS 10.26 YEARS AND 3.36 HAS GONE BY, THE FUTURE IS 6.9 YEARS GOING FORWARD. 2 3 SO AS I EXPLAINED, WELL, IF YOU ARE TRYING TO SAY, WELL, 4 HOW MUCH DO YOU NEED TO PUT AWAY IF YOU WANT TO PAY OUT 34,985 5 A YEAR FOR ALMOST SEVEN YEARS BUT YOU'RE GOING TO MAKE 6 INCREASES IN THE FUTURE, BUT YOU'RE ALSO GOING TO HAVE WHATEVER 7 YOU DON'T PAY OUT IN A GIVEN YEAR STAY IN THE BANK AND GET 8 INTEREST. WHAT LUMP SUM DO YOU NEED? 9 AND THE ANSWER IS 241,397. SO THAT IS THE PRESENT VALUE 10 FIGURE THAT YOU PUT AWAY IN THE BANK, AND IT WILL PAY OUT THE 11 ROUGHLY 35,000 THE FIRST YEAR, A LITTLE MORE IN THE SECOND 12 YEAR, AND THE REST STAYS IN THE BANK AND GETS INTEREST. 13 SO AT THE END OF 6.9 YEARS, THIS 241,000 REDUCES TO ZERO. 14 IT'S JUST DESIGNED TO MAKE WHOLE, NO MORE AND NO LESS. 15 SO WE ADD THE PAST AND THE FUTURE, PRESENT VALUE OF FUTURE 16 TOGETHER AND WE GET 358,947. 17 Q. AND THEN YOU --18 Α. OH. 19 Q. I'M SORRY. GO AHEAD. 20 A. AND SO NOW WE HAVE TO TAKE AWAY WHAT SHE HAS EARNED AND 21 WHAT SHE IS EARNING NOW. 22 SO WE LOOK AT WHAT SHE DID EARN IN THOSE YEARS SINCE THE 23 TERMINATION AND HERE'S THE AMOUNT BY YEARS. IT ADDS UP TO 24 7,431. 25 AND THEN WE HAVE TO TAKE A PRESENT VALUE CALCULATION OF

CROSS MASON 612

1 GOING FORWARD. SO IF SHE'S MAKING \$12 AN HOUR, 15 HOURS A WEEK, 52 WEEKS 2 3 A YEAR, THAT COMES OUT TO 9,360 A YEAR. ONCE AGAIN, USING THE TOTAL OFFSET METHOD, YOU KNOW, THAT 4 5 AMOUNT WOULD GO UP. YOU NEED A LUMP SUM TO REFLECT THE PRESENT 6 VALUE OF THAT AND THAT IS THE 64,584. SO THE TOTAL OF THE OFFSETTING INCOME COMES TO 72,000. SO 8 THE NET AND TOTAL PAST AND PRESENT VALUE FUTURE IS THE 286,932. 9 AND THAT AMOUNT IS IN PRESENT VALUE? Q. 10 A. YES. Q. OKAY. THANK YOU, DR. MASON. 11 12 NO OTHER QUESTIONS FOR THIS WITNESS, YOUR HONOR. 13 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 14 CROSS-EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. MCMANIS: 16 GOOD MORNING, DR. MASON. Q. 17 A. GOOD MORNING. 18 I THINK I HEARD YOU SAY THAT YOUR CALCULATIONS ARE TO SHOW THE LOSS IF THE JURY FINDS LIABILITY IN THIS CASE? 19 20 A. CORRECT. 21 O. ALL RIGHT. AND YOU'RE NOT EXPRESSING ANY OPINION ON THE 22 MERITS OF THIS CASE? 23 A. THAT'S CORRECT. 24 Q. AND YOU'RE SIMPLY DOING WHAT YOU WERE ASKED TO DO, WHICH

IS ASSUMING THIS WAS A WRONGFUL TERMINATION, THESE WAGE FACTS

1 AND SO FORTH AND SO ON, WHAT IS THE LOSS; CORRECT? 2 A. CORRECT. 3 Q. ALL RIGHT. AND, FINALLY, I UNDERSTAND YOU'RE GOING BACK 4 TO PHILADELPHIA FOR A VERY SPECIAL OCCASION; IS THAT RIGHT? 5 YES, SIR. Α. 6 O. AND WHAT IS THAT? A. MY SON'S GRADUATION FROM GRAD SCHOOL. 8 WELL, CONGRATULATIONS. THANK YOU. Q. 9 A. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. 10 MS. NGUYEN: NO FURTHER QUESTIONS. 11 THE COURT: YOU MAY BE EXCUSED. MS. NGUYEN: THANK YOU, DR. MASON. 12 13 THE WITNESS: THANK YOU. 14 MS. NGUYEN: THE PLAINTIFF WOULD LIKE TO RECALL 15 MS. CUC DANG. 16 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. MR. MCMANIS: I THINK SHE'S ON CROSS-EXAMINATION, 17 18 BUT --19 MS. NGUYEN: YES, BUT FOR YOU. 20 MR. MCMANIS: THAT'S FINE. 21 MS. NGUYEN: JUST FOR YOU. 22 MR. MCMANIS: BUT I APPRECIATE THE COURTESY. 23 CROSS-EXAMINATION (RESUMED) 24 BY MR. MCMANIS: 25 Q. THIS IS JUST A SMALL POINT, MS. DANG, BUT DURING

DR. MASON'S TESTIMONY I WAS GIVEN A NOTE THAT YOU HAVE ACTUALLY

- 2 BEEN MARRIED FOUR TIMES; IS THAT RIGHT?
- 3 A. THREE TIMES.
- 4 Q. DO YOU RECALL TESTIFYING AT YOUR DEPOSITION THAT YOU WERE
- 5 MARRIED FOUR TIMES?
- 6 A. WELL, I WAS MARRIED FOUR TIMES BUT THE -- I HAD OFFICIAL
- 7 PAPERS FOR THE THREE AND NOT THE LAST ONE.
- 8 O. CAN WE PUT UP ON THE SCREEN THE DEPOSITION AT PAGE 26,
- 9 LINE 19. AND WAIT UNTIL COUNSEL HAS HAD A CHANCE TO LOOK AT
- 10 THAT, PLEASE.
- MS. NGUYEN: THAT'S FINE.
- 12 BY MR. MCMANIS:
- Q. QUESTION AT LINE 19: "OKAY. SO YOU'VE BEEN MARRIED FOUR
- 14 TIMES THEN?
- 15 "ANSWER: YES."
- 16 WAS THAT YOUR TESTIMONY?
- 17 A. THAT IS CORRECT, BUT IN VIETNAM WE WERE LIVING TOGETHER.
- 18 WE HAD A CHILD, BUT WE DID NOT HAVE ANY OFFICIAL PAPERS. SO AS
- 19 FAR AS IN THE U.S., THEY DON'T CALL THAT AS A MARRIAGE.
- 20 O. YOUR PRESENT HUSBAND IS JASON SUMMERS?
- 21 A. CORRECT.
- 22 Q. WHEN WERE YOU AND MR. SUMMERS MARRIED?
- 23 A. DECEMBER OF 2009.
- Q. AND WHAT DATE?
- 25 A. I DON'T REMEMBER.

Q. ALL RIGHT. YOU DON'T REMEMBER THE DATE OF THE MARRIAGE 1 2 BUT IT WAS IN DECEMBER OF 2009? 3 A. CORRECT. 4 Q. AND IMMEDIATELY BEFORE YOU WERE MARRIED TO 5 MR. JASON SUMMERS, WAS YOUR HUSBAND TANH NGUYEN? 6 A. CORRECT. 7 Q. ALL RIGHT. NOW, I WANT TO SEE IF I CAN CLEAR UP SOME 8 CONFUSION ABOUT YOUR TESTIMONY WITH RESPECT TO CONVERSATIONS 9 AND MEETINGS AFTER THE LUCIO INCIDENT IN APRIL OF 2007. 10 CAN WE PUT UP EXHIBIT 1012, PLEASE. 11 OKAY. THESE ARE JENNIFER GILBERT'S NOTES, AND WE HAVE THE 12 NOTE OF APRIL 12TH ABOUT GETTING SENT TO SECURITY AND THE NEXT 13 DAY IT STATES, "NICK AND I CALLED CUC TO ASK WHAT HAPPENED." AND THEN YOU SAID WHAT YOU SAID. 14 15 DO YOU RECALL A TELEPHONE CALL WITH JENNIFER AND NICK 16 ABOUT LUCIO? 17 A. I CALLED? 18 O. NO. DO YOU RECALL A TELEPHONE CONVERSATION WITH NICK AND 19 JENNIFER ABOUT LUCIO? 20 A. I REMEMBER. 21 MR. MCMANIS: CAN YOU PLEASE PUT UP PAGE 186, 22 PLEASE, 13 TO 22 THAT WE LOOKED AT? 23 MS. MCCLELEN: DEPOSITION? 24 MR. MCMANIS: DEPOSITION, I APOLOGIZE, 13 TO 22, 25 PAGE 186.

Q. WE WON'T OVER THIS. THIS REFERS TO A MEETING WITH 1 2 JOHN ST. CROIX AND JENNIFER. DO YOU RECALL THAT MEETING? 3 A. I REMEMBER. Q. "AND WHAT DID YOU SAY WHEN YOU WERE ASKED 'WHAT DID YOU 4 5 WANT TO DO'? 6 "ANSWER: I SAID THAT I DID NOT WANT TO WORK WITH LUCIO 7 ANYMORE. 8 "DID SOMEONE RESPOND TO THAT, SOMEONE WHO WAS IN THE 9 MEETING. "AND JOHN SAID THAT IF I DID NOT WANT TO WORK WITH HIM, HE 10 11 WOULD CHANGE MY SHIFT. 12 "QUESTION: AND DID HE DO THAT? 13 "ANSWER: YES." AND THAT WAS JOHN ST. CROIX? 14 15 A. PERHAPS SO. 16 Q. WELL, PERHAPS SO? WAS JOHN ST. CROIX THE PERSON YOU MET 17 WITH, WITH JENNIFER? 18 A. CORRECT. Q. ALL RIGHT. IT WASN'T JOHN, YOUR FIRST LAWYER? 19 20 A. I DIDN'T SAY THAT I SAW MY FIRST ATTORNEY. 21 Q. I UNDERSTAND, MA'AM. 22 MY QUESTION IS THE MEETING THAT WAS WITH JENNIFER, THAT

A. CORRECT.

23

25 Q. NOW, WITH RESPECT TO MIKE WILSON, IT'S CORRECT THAT YOU

WAS JOHN ST. CROIX, NOT MEETING JOHN, THE LAWYER?

1 HAD A ROMANTIC RELATIONSHIP WITH HIM IN YOUR MIND?

- 2 A. CORRECT.
- 3 O. AND YOU BELIEVE THAT RELATIONSHIP STARTED IN 2006 SHORTLY
- 4 AFTER YOU CAME TO WORK AT BAY 101?
- 5 A. WELL, I DON'T REMEMBER EXACTLY WHEN, BUT I THINK IT WAS A
- 6 MONTH AFTER I STARTED WORKING FOR BAY 101 I MET HIM IN THE
- 7 KITCHEN.
- 8 O. AND THAT WAS IN 2006?
- 9 A. I DON'T REMEMBER, BUT PERHAPS SO.
- 10 Q. AND DO YOU RECALL STARTING AT BAY 101 IN 2006?
- 11 A. I REMEMBER STARTING WORKING THERE IN JULY OF 2006.
- 12 O. AND YOU MET MR. WILSON ABOUT A MONTH AFTER THAT?
- 13 A. CORRECT.
- 14 Q. NOW, THIS ROMANTIC RELATIONSHIP THAT YOU BELIEVED THE TWO
- 15 OF YOU HAD THAT WENT ON FOR ABOUT TWO YEARS?
- 16 A. CORRECT.
- 17 Q. AND AS I UNDERSTAND YOUR TESTIMONY FROM YOUR DEPOSITION,
- 18 YOU'RE NOT MAKING ANY CLAIM IN THIS LAWSUIT ABOUT YOUR
- 19 RELATIONSHIP WITH MR. WILSON; IS THAT RIGHT?
- 20 A. CORRECT.
- 21 MR. MCMANIS: LET'S PUT UP DEPOSITION PAGE 255,
- 22 LINES 2 TO 14, AFTER MS. NGUYEN HAS HAD A CHANCE TO LOOK AT IT,
- 23 PLEASE?
- 24 MS. NGUYEN: 255 AND THE LINES AGAIN, PLEASE?
- 25 MR. MCMANIS: 2 TO 14.

1 MS. NGUYEN: THAT'S FINE. THANK YOU. 2 BY MR. MCMANIS: 3 O. ALL RIGHT. THIS WAS YOUR DEPOSITION AGAIN. YOU WERE 4 ASKED THE QUESTION: 5 "SO ARE YOU MAKING A CLAIM IN THIS LAWSUIT THAT YOUR 6 RELATIONSHIP WITH MIKE WILSON WAS HARASSMENT OR DISCRIMINATION 7 OR RETALIATION?" 8 YOU ANSWERED, "YES." 9 "QUESTION: SO THE RELATIONSHIP THAT YOU HAD WITH 10 MR. WILSON CONSTITUTED HARASSMENT, DISCRIMINATION OR 11 RETALIATION, THAT'S WHAT YOU'RE SAYING? 12 "ANSWER: WELL, IT'S NOT MR. WILSON. 13 "OUESTION: OKAY. SO I'M TALKING SPECIFICALLY ABOUT YOUR RELATIONSHIP AND THE END OF YOUR RELATIONSHIP WITH MR. WILSON. 14 15 ARE YOU MAKING ANY CLAIM IN THIS LAWSUIT ASSOCIATED WITH THAT 16 RELATIONSHIP? "ANSWER: NO." 17 18 THAT WAS YOUR TESTIMONY? 19 A. CORRECT. 20 O. AND THAT TESTIMONY WAS GIVEN AT YOUR DEPOSITION WHERE THE 2.1 INTERPRETER WAS THERE TO INTERPRET FOR YOU? 22 A. CORRECT. Q. AND IT WAS A PROFESSIONAL INTERPRETER? 23 2.4 A. CORRECT. 25 Q. AND WHO WAS THE INTERPRETER?

1 A. IT WAS A WOMAN, BUT I DON'T REMEMBER HER NAME.

- 2 Q. OKAY. IT WASN'T MS. LEBRUN?
- 3 A. I DON'T REMEMBER. NO, IT WASN'T CHRISTINE LEBRUN.
- 4 Q. AND YOU WERE REPRESENTED BY A LAWYER AT THE DEPOSITION?
- 5 A. PRIOR TO -- I NEED TO ASK BECAUSE I DON'T REMEMBER. IS
- 6 THIS THE DEPOSITION FROM THE ATTORNEYS FROM BAY 101 WHEN THEY
- 7 HAD THE CAMERA?
- 8 Q. WELL, YES?
- 9 A. AND SO IT WAS CHRISTINE LEBRUN.
- 10 Q. AND WHO IS CHRISTINE LEBRUN?
- 11 A. (INDICATING).
- 12 Q. A PRETTY GOOD INTERPRETER?
- 13 A. VERY WELL.
- 14 O. AND ANN NGUYEN WAS REPRESENTING YOU AT THAT TIME; IS THAT
- 15 RIGHT?
- 16 A. THAT'S CORRECT.
- 17 Q. NOW, I'M GOING TO MOVE ON TO THE INCIDENT WITH LINDA ELIAS
- 18 ON OCTOBER 4, 2009. DO YOU REMEMBER THAT INCIDENT?
- 19 A. I REMEMBER.
- 20 Q. AND DO YOU RECALL THAT YOU WENT OVER THE VIDEO FOR US
- 21 YESTERDAY?
- 22 A. I REMEMBER.
- Q. OKAY. I'M NOT GOING TO DO THAT AGAIN, BUT I'D LIKE TO PUT
- 24 UP EXHIBIT 505 IN EVIDENCE, LINDA ELIAS'S STATEMENT.
- NOW, IN HER STATEMENT DATED OCTOBER 6TH, 2009, MS. ELIAS

1 SAYS ABOUT YOU THAT SHE WAS VERY UPSET AND STARTED YELLING AT 2 ME IN THE KITCHEN. 3 IS THAT TRUE? 4 A. NO. 5 Q. AND SHE GOES ON TO SAY, AFTER I RETURNED THE MONEY, CUC 6 WENT TO THE CUSTOMER AND CREATED A SCENE. IS THAT TRUE? 8 A. NO. 9 Q. AND SHE TOLD HIM IT WAS HIS FAULT, AND SHE SHOWED HIM A 10 LIST OF DRINKS THAT SHE HAD HANDWRITTEN. DID YOU SHOW THE CUSTOMER YOUR DRINKS LIST? 11 12 I SHOWED THE PAPER BUT IT WASN'T -- WHEN I CAME OUT, I 13 JUST SAID THAT I WANTED TO MAKE THE CUSTOMER HAPPY. AND IN THE KITCHEN LINDA HAD ALREADY TAKEN THE MONEY BACK. 14 15 AND I HAD EXPLAINED THAT IT WASN'T -- I EXPLAINED TO THE 16 CUSTOMER THAT IT WASN'T MY FAULT THAT THE ORDER WAS WRONG. 17 Q. I UNDERSTAND. MY QUESTION IS A SIMPLE ONE: DID YOU SHOW 18 THE CUSTOMER THE DRINKS THAT YOU HAD HANDWRITTEN? 19 A. YES. 20 Q. NOW, LINDA ELIAS IN HER STATEMENT DATED OCTOBER 6TH, 2009, 21 SAYS, "CUC WENT TO THE KITCHEN AND STARTED YELLING AT KEN 22 MEAKCHAROON." 23 DO YOU SEE THAT? 24 A. I SEE IT. 25 Q. IS THAT TRUE?

1 Α. NO. Q. "KEN TOLD HER IF SHE DIDN'T QUIT YELLING, HE WOULD SEND 2 3 HER HOME." DID KEN TELL THAT TO YOU? 4 5 A. THAT'S COMPLETELY FALSE. 6 Q. OKAY. LET'S TAKE A LOOK AT EXHIBIT 500, PAGE 47. 7 THE INTERPRETER: COUNSEL, IS IT EXHIBIT 400? 8 MR. MCMANIS: YES, 500. AND IT'S PAGE 47. 9 AND WHILE YOU'RE GETTING THAT, I'M GOING TO ASK MS. MCCLELEN TO PULL UP THIS PARAGRAPH AND THE COMMENTS 10 11 IMMEDIATELY BELOW IT. 12 Q. DO YOU HAVE IT? 13 A. YES. 14 O. THANK YOU. 15 NOW, HERE IS WHERE MS. EDMAN IN HER REPORT TALKS ABOUT HER 16 INTERVIEW WITH MR. MEAKCHAROON. 17 "MR. MEAKCHAROON PROVIDED THE INVESTIGATOR WITH DETAILS. 18 HE HEARD MS. DANG COME INTO THE KITCHEN TALKING LOUDLY AND 19 CUSSING." 20 AND YOU SAY THAT'S COMPLETELY FALSE? 21 A. I NEVER SAID THAT TO HER. 22 O. I UNDERSTAND YOU DIDN'T SAY THAT TO HER. THIS IS 23 MR. MEAKCHAROON. 24 MY QUESTION TO YOU IS HIS CLAIM THAT YOU WERE TALKING

LOUDLY AND CUSSING, IS THAT COMPLETELY FALSE?

- 1 A. IT'S NOT CORRECT.
- 2 Q. AND THEN HE'S QUOTED HERE IN THE REPORT HE'S STATED AS,
- 3 QUOTE, "MS. DANG SAID THIS IS F...ING B...S... WHOLE WORDS."
- 4 DID YOU EVER SAY THAT IN THE KITCHEN?
- 5 A. NO.
- 6 Q. "I SAID CALM DOWN." DID HE TELL YOU TO CALM DOWN?
- 7 A. I ASKED IF I COULD LEAVE EARLY BECAUSE I DIDN'T FEEL
- 8 COMFORTABLE WORKING TOGETHER WITH LINDA.
- 9 Q. DID HE TELL YOU TO CALM DOWN?
- 10 A. NO, HE DIDN'T SAY THAT.
- 11 Q. HE GOES ON TO SAY, "CUC KEPT YELLING, WAS MAD, F....
- 12 B...s..."
- 13 WERE YOU ANGRY?
- 14 A. NO.
- 15 Q. HE THEN IS QUOTED AS SAYING, "I SAID I CAN'T HAVE THAT
- 16 ATTITUDE. I SAID I'M THE REFEREE, NOT THE JUDGE. NICK WILL BE
- 17 HERE IN THE MORNING. IT'S HIS DECISION."
- 18 AND THEN THIS PART: "RIGHT NOW YOU HAVE TO CALM DOWN OR
- 19 NO CHOICE BUT TO SEND YOU HOME."
- 20 DID HE TELL YOU THAT "RIGHT NOW YOU HAVE TO CALM DOWN OR
- 21 NO CHOICE BUT TO SEND YOU HOME"?
- 22 A. HE DID NOT SAY THAT.
- Q. OKAY. WOULD YOU PLEASE PUT UP 506, PLEASE.
- NOW, THIS IS A STATEMENT BY ARLENE FONTILLAS, HANDWRITTEN,
- DATED OCTOBER 7, 2009.

623 1 AND MY FIRST QUESTION IS DO YOU KNOW ARLENE FONTILLAS? 2 A. YES. 3 WAS SHE IN THE VIDEOTAPE WE LOOKED AT YESTERDAY? Q. 4 A. YES, I SAW IT. 5 Q. SHE SAYS, "ON OCTOBER 4, SUNDAY, AT ABOUT MIDNIGHT I WAS 6 PLAYING AT THE POKER SIDE." 7 DO YOU RECALL THAT SHE WAS SITTING THERE PLAYING? 8 A. I REMEMBER. 9 "WHEN ALL OF A SUDDEN I HEARD YELLING ON THE NEXT TABLE, Q. IT WAS CUC WITH A CUSTOMER." 10 WERE YOU YELLING AT THE NEXT TABLE WITH A CUSTOMER? 11 12 A. NO. 13 Q. SHE GOES ON TO SAY, "I WAS A SERVER ALSO AND I THOUGHT I COULD HELP." 14 15 IS ARLENE FONTILLAS A SERVER? 16 A. CORRECT. Q. "TRIED TO CALM CUC BUT INSTEAD CUC RAISED HER VOICE ON THE 17 18 CASINO FLOOR." 19 IS THAT TRUE THAT YOU WERE RAISING YOUR VOICE ON THE 20 CASINO FLOOR? 21 A. NO. 22 Q. NOW, YOU WERE DISCIPLINED, RIGHTLY OR WRONGLY, YOU WERE

- 23 DISCIPLINED FOR THAT INCIDENT ON OCTOBER 4TH, '09; CORRECT?
- 24 A. CORRECT.
- 25 Q. A SUSPENSION?

- 1 A. CORRECT.
- 2 Q. RIGHT. AND YOU FILED A GRIEVANCE ON THAT ON OCTOBER 8TH;
- 3 IS THAT RIGHT?
- 4 A. CORRECT.
- 5 O. AND YOU SENT A LETTER TO MR. WERNER ON OCTOBER 13TH?
- 6 A. CORRECT.
- 7 Q. LET'S TAKE A LOOK AT THE LETTER TO MR. WERNER FIRST,
- 8 EXHIBIT 1016.
- 9 FIRST OF ALL, YOU INCLUDED IN THE LETTER TO MR. WERNER
- 10 COUNSELLING MEMOS ABOUT THE INCIDENT WITH LINDA AND ALSO
- 11 PROBLEMS WITH BALANCING ENVELOPES; IS THAT RIGHT?
- 12 A. CORRECT.
- 13 Q. OKAY. LET'S LOOK AT THOSE. THIS IS THE COUNSELLING MEMO
- 14 DATED OCTOBER 8TH, '09, WITH THE SUSPENSION ARGUING WITH A
- 15 CUSTOMER AND A COWORKER ON THE CASINO FLOOR.
- AND LET'S GO DOWN TO THE BOTTOM, THE SIGNATURE LINE.
- 17 DO YOU RECOGNIZE THAT AS NICK ORTEGA'S SIGNATURE DATED
- 18 OCTOBER 8TH, 2009?
- 19 A. YES.
- 20 O. OKAY. AND THEN YOU FILED A GRIEVANCE ON THAT THE SAME
- 21 DAY; IS THAT RIGHT?
- 22 A. I THINK IT WAS THE NEXT DAY BUT MAYBE SO.
- 23 Q. LET'S LOOK AT THE GRIEVANCE, PLEASE, IT'S EXHIBIT 532 IN
- 24 EVIDENCE.
- 25 NOW, THIS IS A GRIEVANCE -- THIS IS THE GRIEVANCE THAT YOU

1 FILED AS A RESULT OF THE SUSPENSION; IS THAT RIGHT?

- 2 A. THAT'S CORRECT.
- 3 O. OKAY. AND THAT'S YOUR SIGNATURE AT THE BOTTOM OF THE
- 4 PAGE?
- 5 A. CORRECT.
- Q. AND IT'S DATED OCTOBER 8, 2009?
- 7 A. CORRECT.
- 8 Q. AND YOU LIST THE STATEMENT OF YOUR GRIEVANCE FOUR ITEMS?
- 9 JUROR: CAN WE BLOW THAT UP?
- 10 BY MR. MCMANIS:
- 11 Q. IS THAT RIGHT?
- 12 A. CORRECT.
- 13 Q. AND WHOSE HANDWRITING IS THIS WHERE YOU LIST YOUR FOUR
- 14 ITEMS?
- 15 A. MY HUSBAND'S.
- 16 Q. THAT'S JASON SUMMERS?
- 17 A. CORRECT.
- 18 Q. HE WROTE THAT OUT?
- 19 A. CORRECT.
- 20 Q. AND THEN YOU SIGNED AND DATED IT?
- 21 A. I SIGNED IT, BUT AS FAR AS THE DATE, I DON'T REMEMBER
- 22 EXACTLY.
- 23 O. SO EITHER YOUR HUSBAND PUT THE DATE IN OR YOU PUT THE DATE
- 24 IN?
- 25 A. I DON'T REMEMBER.

1 BUT IN ANY CASE, IT WAS THE SAME DAY THAT YOU GOT YOUR 2 COUNSELLING MEMO? 3 A. I DON'T REMEMBER IF IT'S THE SAME DAY. 4 MR. MCMANIS: CINDY. 5 THE WITNESS: I RECOGNIZE MY OWN SIGNATURE, BUT AS 6 FAR AS THE DATE, IT COULD BE MY HUSBAND THAT WROTE IT OR IT COULD HAVE BEEN ME. 8 BY MR. MCMANIS: 9 O. OKAY. LET'S JUST LOOK AT THE FIRST PARAGRAPH HERE. BLOW 10 THAT UP, PLEASE. PARAGRAPH 1, "SUPERVISOR IS USING UNION 11 EMPLOYEES TO RETALIATE AGAINST ME FOR FILING SEXUAL HARASSMENT 12 CHARGES AGAINST HIM IN THE PAST." 13 AND WHO IS THE SUPERVISOR? 14 A. NICK. O. I SEE. AND "USING UNION EMPLOYEES TO RETALIATE AGAINST 15 16 ME." WHAT UNION EMPLOYEES WAS HE USING IN YOUR OPINION TO 17 18 RETALIATE AGAINST YOU? 19 A. WHAT I WAS TRYING TO SAY IS THAT I WAS TRYING TO SAY THAT 20 IT WAS LUCIO, AND THAT WAS PREVIOUSLY. 21 Q. WELL, THIS IS THE GRIEVANCE THAT YOU FILED FOR THE 22 OCTOBER 4, 2009, INCIDENT; CORRECT? 23 A. CORRECT.

Q. ALL RIGHT. AND YOU TOLD US THAT THE SUPERVISOR YOU HAD IN

24

25

MIND THERE WAS NICK.

1 AND MY QUESTION IS THAT WHEN YOU SAY NICK WAS USING UNION EMPLOYEES TO RETALIATE AGAINST YOU FOR FILING SEXUAL HARASSMENT 2 3 CHARGES AGAINST YOU IN THE PAST, WHO WERE THE UNION EMPLOYEES 4 THAT YOU THOUGHT HE WAS USING TO RETALIATE AGAINST YOU? 5 A. I THINK HIS NAME WAS ART. 6 Q. ARE THE EMPLOYEES AT BAY 101 UNION EMPLOYEES? 7 A. THE KITCHEN EMPLOYEES AND EMPLOYEES THAT ARE FULL SERVICE ARE UNIONIZED. 8 9 ALL RIGHT. SO LINDA ELIAS IS A UNION EMPLOYEE? Q. 10 A. CORRECT. 11 Q. AND ARLENE FONTILLAS IS A UNION EMPLOYEE? 12 A. CORRECT. 13 O. IS KEN MEAKCHAROON A UNION EMPLOYEE? 14 A. CORRECT. 15 O. WERE THESE UNION EMPLOYEES THAT NICK WAS USING TO 16 RETALIATE AGAINST YOU? 17 A. I DON'T KNOW WHO NICK WAS USING. 18 Q. OKAY. YOU SAY, "FOR FILING SEXUAL HARASSMENT CHARGES 19 AGAINST HIM IN THE PAST." 20 I UNDERSTOOD THAT IN THE PAST TWO AND A HALF YEARS BEFORE 21 YOU HAD FILED A SEXUAL HARASSMENT CHARGE AGAINST LUCIO? 22 A. CORRECT. 23 Q. OKAY. NOW, IS IT TRUE, MS. DANG, THAT YOU BELIEVED THAT

WHAT HAPPENED ON OCTOBER 4, 2009, WAS THAT LINDA ELIAS WAS

TRYING TO STEAL YOUR CUSTOMER?

24

- 1 A. I THINK SO.
- 2 Q. OKAY. AND I THINK YOU MADE THAT CLAIM IN YOUR LETTER TO
- 3 MR. WERNER.
- 4 IF WE COULD PUT 1016 UP, PLEASE. SECOND LINE, PLEASE, AND
- 5 THESE LAST THREE OR FOUR LINES IN THIS PARAGRAPH. STARTING "IN
- 6 THIS PARTICULAR INCIDENT."
- 7 THIS IS FROM YOUR LETTER. "IN THIS PARTICULAR INCIDENT, I
- 8 BELIEVE SHE WAS SIMPLY TRYING TO STEAL A GOOD TIPPING CUSTOMER
- 9 FROM A MORE POPULAR WAITRESS. I HAVE OWNED FIVE RESTAURANTS
- 10 MYSELF AND DON'T NEED ANY TRAINING FROM SOMEONE AS
- 11 UNPROFESSIONAL AS LINDA."
- 12 THAT WAS IN THE LETTER THAT YOU SIGNED?
- 13 A. CORRECT.
- 14 O. AND WHEN YOU SAID THAT "SHE WAS SIMPLY TRYING TO STEAL A
- 15 GOOD TIPPING CUSTOMER," THAT WAS LINDA ELIAS?
- 16 A. CORRECT.
- 17 Q. AND "FROM A MORE POPULAR WAITRESS," THAT WAS YOU I TAKE
- 18 IT?
- 19 A. CORRECT.
- 20 O. AND YOU GO ON TO SAY YOU DON'T NEED ANY TRAINING FROM
- 21 SOMEONE AS UNPROFESSIONAL AS LINDA.
- DO YOU SEE THAT?
- 23 A. YES.
- Q. NOW, BAY 101 HAD TRIED TO TRAIN YOU WHEN YOU MOVED FROM
- 25 THE KITCHEN TO THE FLOOR AS A SERVER; IS THAT RIGHT?

- 1 A. CORRECT.
- 2 Q. AND DO YOU RECALL THAT ELLIS WAS YOUR TRAINER?
- 3 A. CORRECT.
- 4 Q. AND LET'S TAKE A LOOK AT MS. EDMAN'S REPORT ON PAGE 19.
- 5 AND IF YOU COULD BLOW UP THIS BULLET POINT HERE, PLEASE
- 6 (INDICATING).
- 7 NOW, THIS IS YOUR INTERVIEW WITH CAROLE EDMAN. TELL ME
- 8 WHEN YOU'RE READY?
- 9 A. OKAY.
- 10 Q. AND MS. EDMAN NOTES IN HER REPORT THAT "MS. DANG STATED IN
- HER INTERVIEW WITH INVESTIGATOR ON 11-3-09," QUOTE, "I LOVE MY
- 12 JOB NOW. I HAD THREE WEEKS TRAINING. A CHINESE LADY TRAINED
- 13 ME; HER FIRST NAME IS ELLIS. SHE DIDN'T REALLY TRAIN, SHE
- 14 WANTED TO MAKE MONEY FOR HERSELF."
- 15 DID YOU SAY THAT ABOUT ELLIS?
- 16 A. CORRECT.
- 17 Q. AND DID YOU FEEL THAT ELLIS WAS ALSO SOMEONE TRYING TO
- 18 STEAL YOUR TIPS?
- 19 A. NO, SHE WASN'T TRYING TO STEAL THE TIPS. AND WHEN I WAS
- 20 BEING TRAINED, I WOULD GET THE MONEY FROM THE CUSTOMER AND GIVE
- 21 IT TO HER. THAT'S IT.
- 22 Q. AND YOU FELT THAT SHE DIDN'T REALLY WANT TO TRAIN YOU, SHE
- JUST WANTED THE MONEY FOR HERSELF?
- A. CORRECT.
- Q. NOW, YOU GO ON TO SAY, "I KNEW ALREADY. I JUST LEARNED

1 MYSELF. HAD MY OWN RESTAURANTS IN VIETNAM AND IN LAS VEGAS. I 2 HAVE NO PROBLEMS WITH CUSTOMERS. THEY LOVE ME. FOOD IS EASY." 3 WAS THAT YOUR STATEMENT? 4 A. CORRECT. 5 NOW, LET'S TAKE A LOOK AT PAGE 54 OF MS. EDMAN'S REPORT, 6 EXHIBIT 500. AND LET'S BLOW UP STARTING AT SIX LINES DOWN 7 UNDER THIS SECTION WHERE IT SAYS, "MS. NG STATED SHE HAS 8 TRAINED FOOD SERVERS OF ALL DIFFERENT NATIONALITIES." 9 NOW, MS. NG IS MS. ELLIS; IS THAT RIGHT? 10 A. I DON'T KNOW THE LAST NAME. 11 Q. WELL, NG IS A VIETNAMESE NAME. 12 SHE DID HAVE A VIETNAMESE NAME, BUT AT THIS POINT I DON'T 13 REMEMBER. THAT'S FINE. SHE WAS CHINESE WITH A VIETNAMESE NAME? 14 Ο. 15 A. I DON'T REALLY REMEMBER HER VIETNAMESE NAME. 16 Q. FINE. NOW, SHE STATED IN HER INTERVIEW WITH MS. EDMAN, 17 THIS NG OR ELLIS, THAT SHE HAS TRAINED FOOD SERVERS OF ALL 18 DIFFERENT NATIONALITIES; THAT SHE HAD A REAL DIFFICULT TIME 19 TRAINING MS. DANG. 20 DID YOU FEEL THAT THERE WERE SOME DIFFICULTIES IN YOUR 21 TRAINING? 22 THE DIFFICULTY WAS THAT I WAS NOT ABLE TO GET THE 23 EXPERIENCE FROM HER BECAUSE SHE DIDN'T TRAIN. 24 Q. WELL, SHE GAVE SOME REASONS. LET'S LOOK AT THOSE.

"MS. DANG PRETENDS SHE UNDERSTAND IS BUT DOESN'T."

1 DID THAT EVER HAPPEN?

2 A. I DID NOT KNOW THAT SHE WROTE THAT, BUT I DON'T THINK

- 3 THAT'S WHAT HAPPENED.
- 4 Q. OKAY. SHE SAID TO CAROLE EDMAN, "MS. DANG DOES NOT LISTEN
- 5 TO THE TRAINER OR THE CUSTOMERS"; IS THAT THE CASE?
- 6 A. THAT'S NOT CORRECT.
- 7 O. "THAT MS. DANG DID NOT WRITE THINGS DOWN AS MS. NG
- 8 SUGGESTED SO THAT MS. DANG COULD BRING OUESTIONS TO MS. NG
- 9 BEFORE MAKING MISTAKES."
- 10 WAS THAT TRUE.
- 11 A. NO.
- 12 Q. "THAT MS. DANG BROUGHT CUSTOMERS THE WRONG ORDERS BECAUSE
- 13 OF THIS."
- 14 WAS THAT A PROBLEM YOU HAD, MS. DANG, BRINGING CUSTOMERS
- 15 THE WRONG ORDERS?
- 16 A. YES, SOMETIMES.
- 17 Q. "MS. DANG QUIT TRYING TO LEARN THE BAR DRINKS WHEN GIVEN
- 18 THE CHANCE TO DO SO."
- 19 IS THAT CORRECT?
- 20 A. THAT'S NOT CORRECT.
- 21 Q. "MS. DANG DOESN'T SAY WHEN SHE DOESN'T UNDERSTAND WHAT A
- 22 CUSTOMER WANTS."
- 23 TIS HAT TRUE?
- 24 A. THAT'S NOT CORRECT.
- 25 Q. "AND THAT MS. DANG DOES NOT GET ALONG WELL WITH HER

1 COWORKERS." WAS THAT YOUR EXPERIENCE? 2 3 THAT'S INCORRECT. Α. Q. LET'S LOOK AT THE NEXT PARAGRAPH. 4 5 "MS. NG GAVE HER PERCEPTION THAT MS. DANG HAD MORE VOIDS 6 THAN ANYONE ELSE HAD IN THE PAST." 7 WHAT IS A VOID? 8 COULD YOU REPEAT IT? Α. 9 Q. WHAT IS A VOID? 10 A. I DON'T REMEMBER WHAT YOU'RE SAYING. PLEASE REPEAT IT. Q. DO YOU UNDERSTAND AT BAY 101 WHAT A VOID WAS? 11 12 A. IF THERE'S A PROBLEM WITH THE FOOD ORDER THEN YOU VOID IT, 13 YOU CANCEL IT. 14 O. ALL RIGHT. AND IS IT TRUE THAT YOU HAD MORE VOIDS THAN 15 OTHER SERVERS AT BAY 101? 16 A. THAT'S NOT REALLY CORRECT. 17 Q. "MS. NG SAID THAT IT TOOK LONGER FOR MS. DANG TO LEARN 18 THIS JOB THAN ANYONE ELSE IN THE PAST." 19 DID IT SEEM TO YOU THAT IT TOOK YOU A LONG TIME TO LEARN 20 THE JOB? 21 Α. NO. 22 O. "MS. NG FELT THE OTHER FOOD SERVERS HAD TRIED TO HELP MS. DANG WHEN ASKED." 23

IS THAT TRUE?

A. THAT'S NOT CORRECT.

24

Q. DID YOU FEEL THAT SOME OF THE OTHER FOOD SERVERS WHO WERE 1 UNION EMPLOYEES WERE TRYING TO RETALIATE AGAINST YOU FOR 2 3 SOMETHING? 4 A. THAT'S CORRECT. 5 Q. IN ANY CASE AFTER THE OCTOBER 4, 2009 INCIDENT FOR WHICH 6 YOU WERE SUSPENDED, YOU FILED A GRIEVANCE; IS THAT RIGHT? 7 A. CORRECT. O. AND LET'S JUST PUT THAT UP AGAIN TO MAKE SURE WE'RE ON THE 8 9 SAME PAGE HERE. EXHIBIT 532. 10 THE GRIEVANCE THAT YOU FILED -- GO AHEAD. I'M SORRY. THE 11 GRIEVANCE THAT YOU FILED THE SAME DAY YOU GOT YOUR SUSPENSION 12 MEMO THERE WAS A MEDIATION ABOUT THAT? 13 A. CORRECT. 14 O. AND THAT WAS UNDER THE UNION? 15 A. CORRECT. 16 Q. RIGHT. AND THAT'S THE ONE THAT YOU LEFT? 17 A. CORRECT. 18 AND, NOW, YOU TESTIFIED YESTERDAY ABOUT WHY YOU LEFT, AND 19 I WANT TO BE SURE THAT THIS IS CORRECT. TAKE A LOOK, PLEASE --20 WE'RE GOING TO PUT UP YESTERDAY'S TESTIMONY AT PAGE 547, 21 STARTING AT LINE 18. 22 AND IF YOU WOULD BLOW UP LINE 18 TO LINE 22. YOU WERE 23 ASKED BY MS. NGUYEN: 24 "OKAY. AND YOU WERE HERE, I BELIEVE, LAST WEEK WHEN

MR. WERNER SAID THAT YOU WALKED OUT OF A UNION MEDIATION THAT

1 AROSE FROM THIS SUSPENSION. "DO YOU RECALL THAT? 2 3 "ANSWER: I REMEMBER." THAT WAS YOUR TESTIMONY YESTERDAY? 4 5 CORRECT. Α. 6 Q. AND THEN THE NEXT QUESTION AND ANSWER: 7 "QUESTION: AND WITHOUT SAYING WHAT WAS DISCUSSED IN THE 8 UNION MEDIATION, CAN YOU TELL US WHY MR. WERNER IS SAYING THAT 9 YOU WALKED OUT OF THE UNION MEDIATION?" AND THEN YOUR ANSWER APPEARS ON PAGE 548. AND LET'S BLOW 10 11 UP 548:1 TO 10. 12 "I HAD BEEN THERE WORKING AT 11:00 O'CLOCK P.M. UNTIL 7:00 13 A.M. THE NEXT DAY. I HAD TO STAY THERE UNTIL 10:00 O'CLOCK AND THEN THE MEETING WOULD START. THE MEETING LASTED UNTIL 10:30. 14 15 "I WAS HUNGRY, AND I WAS TIRED. AND I WAS SLEEPY. I 16 COULDN'T TAKE IT ANYMORE. "I STOOD UP AND I ASKED IF I COULD GO HOME BECAUSE IF I 17 18 STAYED HERE LONGER, I COULD FAINT BECAUSE I'M SO TIRED. 19 "QUESTION: AND DID THEY LET YOU GO? 20 "ANSWER: JENNIFER SAID, THAT'S RIGHT, YOU HAVE BEEN 2.1 WORKING SINCE LAST NIGHT SO YOU ARE TIRED. SO I WENT HOME." 22 NOW, MY QUESTION IS, IS IT YOUR TESTIMONY THAT JENNIFER 23 GILBERT TOLD YOU, YOU DON'T HAVE TO STAY AROUND HERE? YOU 2.4 COULD LEAVE THE MEDIATION? IS THAT WHAT YOU'RE TELLING US? 25 A. NO, THAT'S NOT RIGHT. WHEN I SAID 10:30, I MEANT TO SAY

- 1 1:30. I DON'T KNOW WHY IT SAYS 10:30 ON THERE.
- 2 O. DO YOU KIND OF UNDERSTAND WHAT THE INTERPRETER IS SAYING
- 3 IN ENGLISH, MA'AM.
- 4 A. I UNDERSTAND A LITTLE.
- 5 Q. WELL, YOU JUST CORRECTED HER, CORRECT?
- 6 A. CORRECT.
- 7 Q. ALL RIGHT. WELL, THAT'S NOT REALLY MY QUESTION ABOUT
- 8 10:00 OR 10:30.
- 9 MY QUESTION HAS TO DO WITH JENNIFER GILBERT AND THE
- 10 QUESTION THAT YOU WERE ACTUALLY ASKED BY YOUR LAWYER WAS AT
- 11 LINE 8: "AND DID THEY LET YOU GO? "
- 12 AND THE ANSWER YOU GAVE WAS: "JENNIFER SAID, THAT'S
- 13 | RIGHT, YOU HAVE BEEN WORKING SINCE LAST NIGHT SO YOU ARE TIRED.
- 14 SO I WENT HOME."
- 15 WITH RESPECT, I'D LIKE TO SEE IF YOU CAN ANSWER THE
- 16 QUESTION THAT YOU WERE ASKED, DID THEY LET YOU GO? DID THE
- 17 MEDIATOR SAY THAT YOU'RE FREE TO GO?
- 18 A. JENNIFER SAID TO SOMEONE THERE, SHE AGREED WITH ME THAT I
- 19 HAD BEEN WORKING SINCE THE NIGHT BEFORE.
- 20 Q. DID SOMEONE TELL YOU THAT IT WAS ALL RIGHT FOR YOU TO
- 21 LEAVE THE MEDIATION?
- 22 A. NO ONE SAID THAT.
- O. BUT YOU DID LEAVE THE MEDIATION?
- 24 A. JUST BEFORE I LEFT I SAID THAT.
- 25 Q. LET'S GO BACK TO THE EDMAN REPORT AT PAGE 3, PLEASE, AND

636

CROSS DANG 1 WOULD YOU BLOW UP THE INTERVIEW SECTION IN THE MIDDLE OF THE 2 PAGE, PLEASE. 3 "THE INVESTIGATOR INTERVIEWED MS. DANG ON NOVEMBER 3, 2009 AND NOVEMBER 5, 2009, WITH THE ASSISTANCE OF A TRANSLATOR 4 5 MS. KIM ROBERTS DURING BOTH INTERVIEW DATES." 6 AND MS. ROBERTS WAS THE PROFESSIONAL INTERPRETER? 7 A. CORRECT. 8 O. AND MR. STEVEN NGO WAS PRESENT ON THAT DAY ALSO. THAT'S 9 YOUR SECOND LAWYER? 10 MY FIRST ATTORNEY. Α.

- WELL, DIDN'T YOU HAVE A LAWYER NAMED JOHN WORKING FOR YOU 11 Q.
- ON YOUR APRIL 2007 LETTER? 12
- 13 A. I JUST ASKED HIM TO WRITE THIS LETTER FOR ME BAY 101,
- 14 THAT'S ALL.
- 15 O. ALL RIGHT. IN ANY CASE, WHETHER HE WAS YOUR FIRST OR
- 16 SECOND LAWYER, STEVEN NGO WAS PRESENT; RIGHT?
- 17 A. CORRECT.
- 18 O. AND HE WAS PRESENT IN THE INTERVIEW AS YOUR LAWYER AT YOUR
- 19 REQUEST; IS THAT RIGHT?
- 20 A. CORRECT.
- 21 O. AND WOULD YOU PUT THE TABLE OF CONTENTS AND PUT THE COVER
- 22 SHEET OF EXHIBIT 500, THE FRONT PAGE UP, PLEASE.
- 23 NOW, THIS IS THE CONFIDENTIAL INVESTIGATION REPORT,
- "SUMMARY OF KEY FINDINGS OF INVESTIGATION PREPARED BY 24
- 25 CAROLE EDMAN," SUBMITTED TO OUR LAW FIRM DECEMBER 10, 2009?

1 AND THIS IS A REPORT THAT HAS BEEN TURNED OVER TO YOUR LAWYER IN THIS CASE; IS THAT RIGHT? 2 3 BAY 101 DID NOT GIVE ME THIS SO I DON'T KNOW. Α. 4 Q. MY QUESTION WAS THIS IS THE REPORT THAT HAS BEEN TURNED 5 OVER TO YOUR LAWYER MS. ANN NGUYEN IN THIS CASE? 6 Α. CORRECT. 7 0. WITHOUT TELLING ME ANYTHING THAT YOU SAID TO HER OR SHE 8 SAID TO YOU, YOU HAVE SEEN THIS REPORT NOW? 9 I DON'T REMEMBER. Α. 10 Q. LET'S LOOK AT THE TABLE OF CONTENTS, PLEASE. NOW, HERE MS. EDMAN LISTS THE 15 PEOPLE SHE SPOKE TO 11 STARTING WITH YOU, JENNIFER GILBERT, ANYTHING ORTEGA, 12 13 LUCIO SUAREZ WHO WE HAVE HEARD ABOUT IN THIS CASE. YOU HEARD ABOUT ALL OF THOSE PEOPLE AT BAY 101? 14 15 YES, I DO. Α. 16 LINDA ELIAS, THE PERSON WHO YOU FELT WAS TRYING TO STEAL Q. 17 YOUR CUSTOMER, YOU KNEW HER? 18 Α. YES. 19 Q. MS. CHI LUONG, THE PBX OPERATOR WE HEARD FROM YESTERDAY? 20 YES. Α. 21 Q. AND WE ALSO HEARD FROM MICHAEL WILSON. 22 NGA NGUYEN, NUMBER 8, IS THAT ALSO A PERSON WE KNOW AS

- 23 NINA?
- 24 A. CORRECT.
- 25 Q. AND IS THAT ALSO A PERSON THAT YOU SAID LUCIO HAD BEEN

- 1 BOTHERING?
- 2 A. CORRECT.
- 3 Q. KATE KNAPP, THE COMPLIANCE OFFICER, MR. MEAKCHAROON,
- 4 GRAVEYARD SUPERVISOR, MS. SO NGUYEN. SHE WAS A COOK?
- 5 A. CORRECT.
- 6 O. AND THAT'S THE OTHER PERSON YOU SAID THAT LUCIO HAD BEEN
- 7 BOTHERING?
- 8 A. CORRECT.
- 9 Q. AND JOSE SOLIS, LEAD SUPERVISOR. YOU KNEW HIM?
- 10 A. YES.
- 11 Q. AND THIS ALBERTA HORCHING-NG ALSO WAS A FOOD SERVER; IS
- 12 THAT RIGHT?
- 13 A. YES.
- 14 Q. AND NOW I THINK I LEFT OFF A VERY IMPORTANT PERSON, NUMBER
- 15 6, MS. ANYH HUYNH. SHE WAS A COOK?
- 16 A. CORRECT.
- 17 Q. AND SHE WAS VIETNAMESE?
- 18 A. CORRECT.
- 19 Q. AND SHE WAS THE REAL HEAD CHEF AT BAY 101, WAS SHE NOT?
- 20 A. CORRECT.
- 21 Q. IN CHARGE OF VIETNAMESE FOOD?
- 22 A. CORRECT.
- 23 Q. DO YOU REMEMBER GETTING INTO AN ARGUMENT WITH HER ABOUT
- 24 YOUR SOUP?
- 25 A. NEVER.

1 O. NEVER. IT'S YOUR TESTIMONY THAT SHE NEVER TOLD YOU THAT

- THE SOUP IS TOO WATERY, WE'RE GETTING COMPLAINTS FROM THE
- 3 CUSTOMERS?
- 4 A. THAT NEVER HAPPENED.
- 5 Q. NUMBER 6, IS SHE ALSO KNOWN IN THE KITCHEN AS MAMA ANH,
- 6 MAMA ANH?
- 7 A. CORRECT.
- 8 Q. NOW, YOU WERE HERE LAST WEEK WHEN MR. WERNER TESTIFIED?
- 9 A. CORRECT.
- 10 Q. OKAY. AND YOU KNOW MR. WERNER?
- 11 A. I DO KNOW HIM.
- 12 Q. BY THE WAY, DO YOU FEEL RON WERNER IS PREJUDICED AGAINST
- 13 VIETNAMESE PEOPLE?
- 14 A. I HAVE HAD VERY LITTLE CONTACT WITH HIM SO I'M NOT -- I
- 15 DON'T KNOW.
- 16 Q. ALL RIGHT. HAVE YOU EVER MET HIS WIFE?
- 17 A. NEVER.
- 18 Q. ALL RIGHT. DO YOU RECALL MR. WERNER WHEN HE TESTIFIED
- 19 LAST WEEK TALKED ABOUT MS. EDMAN'S CONCLUSIONS AS SET FORTH IN
- 20 THAT REPORT, EXHIBIT 500?
- 21 A. I REMEMBER.
- 22 Q. AND THE CONCLUSIONS THAT MR. ORTEGA, NICK, AND MAMA ANH,
- 23 MAMA ANH SHOULDN'T BE TALKING IN ETHNIC TERMS TO EACH OTHER?
- 24 DO YOU REMEMBER THAT?
- 25 A. I REMEMBER.

1 Q. AND DO YOU REMEMBER THAT HE TESTIFIED THAT HE REQUIRED

- 2 NICK TO TAKE A MANAGEMENT TRAINING COURSE?
- 3 A. WHO SAID THAT?
- 4 Q. MR. WERNER.
- 5 A. I DON'T REMEMBER.
- 6 O. ALL RIGHT. AND DO YOU RECALL THAT HE ALSO TESTIFIED THAT
- 7 MS. EDMAN THOUGHT THAT THE BAY 101 PROCEDURES ABOUT TRANSFERS
- 8 NEEDED TO BE IMPROVED?
- 9 A. I'M NOT SURE WHERE YOU'RE GETTING AT.
- 10 Q. WELL, YOU WERE HERE WHEN MR. WERNER TESTIFIED?
- 11 A. YES.
- 12 Q. AND I THINK ONE OF YOUR COMPLAINTS IN THIS CASE WAS THAT
- 13 NICK ORTEGA WAS PREJUDICED AGAINST YOU OR RETALIATED AGAINST
- 14 YOU WHEN YOU TRIED TO TRANSFER?
- 15 A. CORRECT.
- 16 Q. AND DO YOU REMEMBER MR. WERNER TESTIFIED ABOUT THE THINGS
- 17 THAT MS. EDMAN WAS RECOMMENDING IN TERMS OF IMPROVING THE
- 18 TRANSFER POLICY?
- 19 A. I DON'T REMEMBER.
- 20 Q. ALL RIGHT. ON THE SUBJECT OF TRANSFERS, IF WE MIGHT FOR A
- 21 MOMENT, THE FACT IS THAT YOU BELIEVE THAT NICK WAS NOT
- 22 APPROVING YOUR TRANSFER BECAUSE HE DIDN'T WANT TO LOSE A GOOD
- 23 COOK?
- A. CORRECT.
- 25 Q. IT REALLY HAD NOTHING TO DO WITH LUCIO OR PREJUDICE ABOUT

1 VIETNAMESE OR WOMEN, IT WAS THAT YOU WERE A GOOD COOK AND HE

- 2 WANTED TO KEEP YOU IN THE KITCHEN.
- 3 IS THAT TRUE?
- 4 A. IT COULD BE THAT.
- 5 O. ALL RIGHT. IT COULD BE THAT.
- 6 AND AS A MATTER OF FACT, I THINK I RECALL YOU SAYING
- 7 YESTERDAY THAT YOU TOLD NICK THAT IF THAT'S THE REASON, THAT'S
- 8 ILLEGAL? DO YOU REMEMBER THAT?
- 9 A. I HAVEN'T UNDERSTOOD THE MEANING OF YOUR QUESTION. PLEASE
- 10 REPEAT IT.
- 11 Q. YES. YOU TOLD NICK ORTEGA WHEN YOU GAVE HIM YOUR TRANSFER
- 12 REQUEST IF YOU'RE NOT APPROVING THIS BECAUSE YOU WANT TO KEEP A
- 13 GOOD COOK IN THE KITCHEN, THAT'S ILLEGAL?
- 14 A. I SAID IF YOU DON'T WANT ME TO CHANGE JOBS, THAT'S NOT
- 15 CORRECT.
- 16 Q. ALL RIGHT. BUT IT HAD TO DO WITH YOUR BEING A GOOD COOK,
- 17 HADN'T IT?
- 18 A. I DIDN'T SAY THAT. HE DIDN'T SAY THAT IT WAS BECAUSE I
- 19 WAS A GOOD COOK. IT WAS JUST BECAUSE HE DIDN'T WANT TO LET ME
- 20 GO.
- 21 Q. DO YOU RECALL THAT YOUR HUSBAND, JASON SUMMERS, HELPED YOU
- 22 FILL OUT YOUR THIRD REQUEST?
- A. CORRECT.
- Q. AND DID YOU TELL HIM THAT YOU HANDED THAT THIRD REQUEST TO
- 25 NICK ORTEGA WITH SOME INSTRUCTIONS?

- 1 A. CORRECT.
- 2 Q. AND THAT THE INSTRUCTION YOU GAVE TO NICK WAS, AND I
- 3 QUOTE, "TOLD HIM THAT IF HE WAS TRYING TO KEEP YOU IN THE
- 4 KITCHEN SIMPLY BECAUSE YOU WERE A GOOD WORKER AND HE DIDN'T
- 5 WANT TO LOSE YOU, THAT WAS ILLEGAL."
- 6 IS THAT WHAT YOU TOLD HIM?
- 7 A. IF HE DOES NOT WANT ME TO CHANGE JOBS, I SAID THAT THAT'S
- 8 NOT THE LAW.
- 9 I TOLD HIM THAT I AM THE ONE -- I DID TELL MS. ANYH THAT I
- 10 WAS A GOOD COOK AND THAT I HELPED HER OUT.
- Q. BUT MY POINT IS HERE, AND I WON'T BELABOR THIS, BUT WHAT
- 12 YOU SAID TO NICK WAS THAT YOU SUSPECTED HE WOULDN'T APPROVE
- 13 YOUR TRANSFER BECAUSE YOU WERE A GOOD WORKER?
- 14 A. YES, I SAID IT WAS GOOD WORK.
- 15 Q. RIGHT.
- A. BUT IT'S NOT BECAUSE OF THAT, THAT HE DIDN'T LET ME GO.
- 17 Q. HE KEPT YOU IN THE KITCHEN IN YOUR OPINION BECAUSE HE
- 18 THOUGHT YOU WERE A GOOD WORKER?
- 19 A. I DON'T KNOW WHAT HE THINKS.
- 20 Q. BUT THIS WAS THE SUBJECT OF -- WELL, I'LL WAIT FOR
- 21 MR. SUMMERS TO ASK THAT QUESTION.
- 22 NOW -- SO MR. WERNER TESTIFIED ABOUT NICK AND MAMA ANH,
- 23 ABOUT TRANSFERS.
- 24 AND DO YOU RECALL THE THIRD THING THAT HE SAID MS. EDMAN
- 25 RECOMMENDED WAS SOMETHING THAT WOULD HELP IMPROVE YOUR

- 1 PERFORMANCE.
- 2 DO YOU RECALL THAT?
- 3 A. I DON'T REMEMBER.
- 4 Q. DID YOU THINK THAT YOUR PERFORMANCE COULD BE IMPROVED IN
- 5 CERTAIN AREAS?
- 6 A. I DON'T REMEMBER. WHAT DO YOU MEAN?
- 7 O. YES. DO YOU THINK YOU COULD HAVE DONE A BETTER JOB AS A
- 8 SERVER?
- 9 A. YES, I COULD.
- 10 Q. AND DO YOU RECALL MR. WERNER TESTIFYING THAT THIS MEETING
- 11 THAT YOU MISSED, HE WANTED TO TALK TO YOU ABOUT THINGS THAT HE
- 12 WOULD LIKE YOU TO DO TO IMPROVE YOUR PERFORMANCE?
- A. WHETHER HE WANTED ME TO IMPROVE OR NOT, I DON'T KNOW, I
- 14 WASN'T THERE. BUT LATER ON HE FIRED ME.
- 15 Q. WELL, THERE NEVER WAS A DISCUSSION WITH MR. WERNER ABOUT
- 16 YOUR IMPROVING YOUR PERFORMANCE BECAUSE, AS YOU SAY, YOU WERE
- 17 NOT AT THE MEETING; IS THAT RIGHT?
- 18 A. CORRECT.
- 19 Q. NOW, I WANT TO TURN TO THE MORNING OF DECEMBER 21, 2009.
- 20 NICK ORTEGA TOLD YOU ABOUT 3:00 OR 4:00 A.M. THAT THEY WANTED
- 21 TO HAVE A MEETING WITH YOU WHEN YOU GOT OFF SHIFT AT 7:00 A.M.?
- 22 A. I REMEMBER.
- 23 O. OKAY. YOU CLAIM THAT YOU TOLD HIM TWO THINGS: I HAVE TO
- 24 PICK UP MY DAUGHTER, AND I HAVE TO TAKE MY HUSBAND TO SURGERY;
- 25 IS THAT RIGHT?

- 1 A. CORRECT.
- 2 Q. YOU WERE HERE LAST WEEK WHEN MR. ORTEGA WAS ASKED BY YOUR
- 3 LAWYER ABOUT THOSE SUBJECTS AND SAID HE DIDN'T REMEMBER
- 4 ANYTHING LIKE THAT.
- 5 MY QUESTION TO YOU IS: ARE YOU SURE THAT YOU TOLD
- 6 MR. ORTEGA I HAVE TO PICK UP MY DAUGHTER, AND I HAVE TO TAKE MY
- 7 HUSBAND TO SURGERY?
- 8 A. I'M SURE.
- 9 Q. NOW, WHETHER YOU SAID THOSE THINGS AS YOU RECALL, OR DID
- 10 NOT AS MR. ORTEGA RECALLS, THE CONVERSATION THAT YOU HAD WITH
- MR. ORTEGA HAPPENED AROUND 3:00 OR 4:00 A.M.?
- 12 A. ABOUT THAT.
- 13 Q. OKAY. AND WHATEVER WAS SAID AT THAT CONVERSATION, YOU
- 14 CALLED YOUR HUSBAND TO TALK TO HIM ABOUT IT; IS THAT RIGHT?
- 15 A. CORRECT.
- 16 Q. AND YOU WERE ABLE TO DO THAT ON A CELL PHONE?
- 17 A. CORRECT.
- 18 Q. AND YOU HAVE A CELL PHONE?
- 19 A. CORRECT.
- 20 O. HE HAS A CELL PHONE?
- 21 A. YES.
- 22 Q. AND YOUR DAUGHTER HAS A CELL PHONE?
- 23 A. YES.
- Q. WE ALL HAVE CELL PHONES?
- 25 A. CORRECT.

1 Q. EXCEPT FOR ME. IN ANY CASE, I DON'T WANT TO GET INTO THE CONVERSATION 2 3 THAT YOU HAD WITH YOUR HUSBAND, BUT YOU CALLED HIM AFTER 4 NICK ORTEGA SAID THAT YOU NEED TO GO TO A MEETING AT 7:00 A.M.; 5 IS THAT RIGHT? 6 Α. YES. 7 O. NOW, WERE YOU A LITTLE WORRIED AT THAT TIME THAT MAYBE 8 SOMEONE WAS GOING TO FIRE YOU? 9 I WASN'T WORRIED. I JUST DIDN'T KNOW, I DIDN'T KNOW IF I Α. 10 WENT TO THAT MEETING WHO WOULD PICK UP MY DAUGHTER. Q. WE'LL GET TO THAT. 11 12 WERE YOU WORRIED THAT MAYBE YOU WERE GOING TO GET ANOTHER 13 COUNSELLING MEMO AND A SUSPENSION? 14 I DID NOT KNOW HOW IT WOULD HAPPEN. I AM JUST WORRIED 15 HOW AM I GOING TO GO TO THE MEETING AND AT THE SAME TIME PICK 16 UP MY DAUGHTER. 17 Q. WELL, YOU TOLD US ABOUT THE PROBLEMS YOU HAD WITH 18 LINDA ELIAS; ABOUT HOW KEN MEAKCHAROON'S STATEMENT TO 19 CAROLE EDMAN WAS NOT TRUE; YOU TALKED ABOUT ARLENE FONTILLAS; 20 AND YOU TALKED ABOUT HOW ELLIS WAS ONLY INTERESTED IN MONEY. 21 YOU HAD HAD SOME RECENT PROBLEMS WITH BETH LICONA, THE 22 BARTENDER, HAD YOU NOT? 23 A. CORRECT. 24 Q. AND LET'S PUT UP EXHIBIT 504 IN EVIDENCE, PLEASE.

NOW, THIS IS A LETTER MEMORANDUM FROM BETH LICONA TO

25

1 MR. ORTEGA TYPED DECEMBER 17TH, DOCUMENTING SOMETHING THAT SHE 2 SAID HAPPENED ABOUT A MONTH AGO BETWEEN HER AND CUC DANG. 3 AND BETH LICONA IS A BARTENDER AT BAY 101; IS THAT RIGHT? 4 A. CORRECT. 5 O. ALSO A UNION EMPLOYEE? 6 A. CORRECT. 7 O. AND DO YOU THINK THAT SHE WAS ONE OF THE UNION EMPLOYEES 8 THAT THEY WERE USING TO RETALIATE AGAINST YOU? 9 A. NOT AT THE TIME I DIDN'T THINK THAT, BUT LATER ON AFTER I 10 WAS FIRED, I THOUGHT THAT MAYBE THIS WAS LIKE A TRAP AND THEY USED HER. 11 12 Q. ALL RIGHT. WELL, SHE HAD REPORTED THAT YOU HAD A TICKET 13 FOR A VIRGIN MARGARITA AND THEN MADE ANOTHER ONE STATING SEE 14 ME. I DID NOT MAKE THE ORDER BECAUSE I WAS WAITING FOR HER. 15 AND WHEN SHE CAME SHE SAID THAT SHE WOULD ORDER THE 16 REGULAR MARGARITA INSTEAD OF THE PREVIOUS ORDER. 17 I TOLD HER THE REGULAR HAS A DIFFERENT PRICE THAN THE 18 VIRGIN ONE. CUC INSISTED IT IS THE SAME, BUT I TOLD HER IT IS 19 NOT. 20 SHE WENT INTO THE KITCHEN WITHOUT CLARIFYING WHICH 21 MARGARITA SHE WANTS. AND WHEN SHE CAME BACK SHE WAS MAD 22 BECAUSE I DID NOT MAKE HER ORDER. 23 DO YOU RECALL THIS INCIDENT WITH BETH OVER THE MARGARITA? 24 A. THIS LETTER IS NOT THE TRUTH.

Q. ALL RIGHT. BUT DO YOU RECALL AN INCIDENT WITH BETH OVER

25

1 THE MARGARITA? 2 A. YES. 3 Q. AND DO YOU RECALL GOING INTO THE KITCHEN AND COMING BACK 4 AND BEING MAD? 5 A. I WAS NOT MAD. 6 O. AND, NOW, SHE SAID, I CALLED THE SHIFT MANAGER, MIKE 7 WILSON, AND TOLD HIM ABOUT HER ORDER. 8 I EXPLAINED TO THE SHIFT MANAGER ABOUT THE MATTER AND SHE, 9 CUC, TOLD MR. WILSON THAT I AM A LIAR. 10 DID YOU TELL THAT SHE WAS A LIAR? 11 A. I DID. 12 Q. AND THAT WAS MR. WILSON? 13 A. CORRECT. THE COURT: LET'S TAKE OUR SECOND BREAK. 14 15 MR. MCMANIS: FINE. THANK YOU. 16 THE COURT: WE'LL BE IN RECESS FOR 15 MINUTES. 17 (RECESS FROM 11:53 A.M. UNTIL 12:09 P.M.) 18 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. YOU MAY CONTINUE. 19 MR. MCMANIS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 20 IF WE COULD GO BACK TO EXHIBIT 504, CINDY. POP THAT UP. 21 NOW, BEFORE THE RECESS WE WERE TALKING ABOUT THIS INCIDENT Q. 22 OVER THE MARGARITAS AND BETH AND MR. WILSON. 23 AND THE ALLEGATION THAT YOU TOLD MR. WILSON THAT BETH IS A 2.4 LIAR. AND DID YOU SAY -- DID YOU ADMIT THAT YOU DID CALL HER A 25 LIAR?

1 CORRECT. Α. 2 Q. NOW, I THINK YOU TESTIFIED ABOUT THIS YESTERDAY. AND I 3 WANT TO TURN TO PAGE 544 AT LINE 14 FROM YESTERDAY'S TRANSCRIPT 4 AND LINES 14 TO 23, PLEASE. 5 AND YOUR LAWYER YESTERDAY ASKED YOU A QUESTION AT LINE 14: 6 "AND AFTER YOU SENT THIS LETTER TO BAY 101, WHAT 7 HAPPENED?" 8 DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT THAT WAS YOUR LETTER TO RON WERNER? 9 A. YES, CORRECT. 10 "ANSWER: AT THAT TIME THE INTERVIEWER, AN INVESTIGATOR ON Q. 11 THE PHONE AND SHE SAID THAT SHE WANTED TO SEE ME IN ORDER TO 12 INVESTIGATE WHAT WAS HAPPENING." 13 AND THAT WAS CAROLE EDMAN? 14 A. CORRECT. 15 Q. "OUESTION: YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT MS. EDMAN? 16 "ANSWER: CORRECT." 17 AND THEN MS. NGUYEN ASKED A QUESTION AT LINE 20: 18 "SO BEFORE WE MOVE ON TO MS. EDMAN, I'D LIKE YOU TO LET US 19 KNOW, AFTER YOU WERE SUSPENDED, DID YOU GO RIGHT BACK TO WORK 20 AFTER THE TWO-DAY SUSPENSION? 2.1 "ANSWER: NO." 22 LET'S GET THE LAST TWO LINES OF THAT PAGE. BLOW THEM UP. 23 "OUESTION: WHY NOT? 2.4 "BECAUSE I WAS ILL." 25 AND THE TOP OF PAGE 545, LET'S GO 1 TO 17, PLEASE.

1 "WHAT HAPPENED? "I WAS CRYING AND I WAS SAD. THIS WAS NOT MY FAULT. WHY 2 3 WERE THEY BLAMING ME? I DIDN'T WANT TO EAT, AND I STAYED IN BED. 4 5 "QUESTION: DID YOU GO SEEK SOME TREATMENT AT KAISER? 6 "ANSWER: I DID." 7 WAS KAISER WHERE YOU GOT YOUR FAMILY DOCTOR? 8 CORRECT. Α. 9 "AND EVENTUALLY DID YOU GO BACK TO WORK?" Q. 10 AND THEN YOU SAY HERE, "AFTER THE INTERVIEW, AFTER THE 11 INVESTIGATION SHE SAID THAT RON PROMISED WHEN I CAME BACK AND 12 WORKED, I WOULD HAVE TO STAY QUIET AND ALL I SHOULD SAY IS THAT 13 I WAS ILL AND NOW THAT I'M BACK TO WORK AND HE PROMISED THAT NOTHING BAD WOULD HAPPEN TO ME." 14 15 NOW, WHEN YOU SAID THAT "HE PROMISED THAT NOTHING BAD 16 WOULD HAPPEN TO ME, " WAS THAT MR. WERNER? 17 A. CORRECT. 18 "AND AFTER THE INTERVIEW, AFTER THE INVESTIGATION SHE SAID 19 THAT RON PROMISED." 20 WAS THAT MS. EDMAN? 21 A. CORRECT. 22 Ο. "SHE SAID THAT RON PROMISED WHEN I CAME BACK AND WORKED, I 23 WOULD HAVE TO STAY QUIET, " AND SO FORTH. 2.4 AT THE TIME THAT YOU WERE INTERVIEWED BY CAROLE EDMAN, YOU 25 HAD ALREADY BEEN BACK TO WORK FOR SOME TIME, HAD YOU NOT?

650 1 LET ME THINK. WENT BACK TO WORK? I WAS SUSPENDED FOR 2 TWO DAYS. 3 Q. THAT WAS OCTOBER 8TH? 4 A. AND THEN AFTER THAT NICK SAID THAT I WOULD HAVE TO GO BACK 5 TO THE KITCHEN AND GO BACK TO THE NIGHTSHIFT. 6 SO I WENT HOME AND DID THE LETTER AND GAVE IT. I GAVE IT 7 TO RON WERNER. 8 AND THEN LATER, THAT'S WHEN THAT MEETING OCCURRED. 9 WELL, LET'S JUST GET SOME DATES HERE. YOU'RE PRETTY Q. 10 CLEAR, I TAKE IT, THAT THE COUNSELLING MEMO GIVING YOU THE SUSPENSION WAS OCTOBER 8TH? 11 12 A. CORRECT. 13 O. AND DO YOU RECALL TALKING TO MS. EDMAN ON NOVEMBER 3RD AND 14 NOVEMBER 5TH? 15 A. I DON'T REMEMBER WHICH DATES. WHEN I WAS SUSPENDED, I 16 STAYED HOME. I WAS HOME ILL. AND THEN ABOUT A MONTH LATER I 17 GOT A PHONE CALL AND THE LADY SAID THAT SHE WAS AN INVESTIGATOR 18 FROM BAY 101. AND SHE CALLED AND SHE SAID SHE WANTED TO 19 INVESTIGATE THE REAL REASON. 20 SO I GAVE HER THE PHONE NUMBER OF MY ATTORNEY AND MY 21 HUSBAND'S AND THEN LATER THAT MEETING OCCURRED. 22 Q. ALL RIGHT. LET'S PUT UP PAGE 3 OF MS. EDMAN'S REPORT,

PLEASE AND THE INTERVIEW DATES, PLEASE. THE CENTER PARAGRAPH.

23

24

25

NOW, I THINK WE AGREED THAT THE SUSPENSION, THE THREE-DAY SUSPENSION WAS OCTOBER 8, 2009; CORRECT?

1 CORRECT. Α. Q. AND YOU WERE INTERVIEWED BY MS. EDMAN ON NOVEMBER 3RD AND 2 3 NOVEMBER 5TH. DO YOU RECALL THAT? 4 5 A. I DON'T REMEMBER THE DATES, BUT I KNOW THAT I DID ATTEND. 6 Q. AND GOING BACK TO YESTERDAY'S TESTIMONY, PAGE 544 --7 EXCUSE ME, PAGE 545, LINES 8 TO 12. 8 "AFTER THE INTERVIEW, AFTER THE INVESTIGATION SHE SAID 9 THAT RON PROMISED WHEN I CAME BACK AND WORKED, I WOULD HAVE TO 10 STAY QUIET AND ALL I SHOULD SAY IS THAT I WAS ILL AND NOW I'M 11 BACK TO WORK, AND HE PROMISED THAT NOTHING BAD WOULD HAPPEN TO 12 ME. NO ONE WOULD BOTHER ME." 13 THIS WAS AFTER THE INTERVIEW ON NOVEMBER 3RD AND 5TH, IS THAT WHAT YOU'RE SAYING? 14 15 A. YES. 16 Q. OKAY. WELL, IN ANY EVENT -- LET'S GO TO THE NEXT LINES 13 17 TO 17. 18 "WHEN YOU WENT BACK TO WORK AT BAY 101 AFTER THE 19 SUSPENSION, DID ANYBODY BOTHER YOU? 20 "ANSWER: THE NIGHT THAT I FIRST STARTED GOING BACK TO 21 WORK I HAD A PROBLEM WITH BETH IN THE BAR." 22 IS THAT YOUR TESTIMONY? 23 A. YES, CORRECT. 2.4 Q. AND WAS THAT THE ISSUE WITH THE MARGARITA THAT WE TALKED

25

ABOUT?

1 A. CORRECT. Q. AND I THINK YOU SAID THAT WHEN MIKE WILSON WAS THERE AND 2 3 BETH WAS TELLING HER SIDE OF THE STORY, YOU CALLED HER A LIAR? A. THAT'S RIGHT. SHE DID NOT TELL WHAT HAPPENED CORRECTLY. 4 5 Q. LET'S GO TO PAGE 547 FROM THE TRANSCRIPT OF YESTERDAY, 6 LINES 6 THROUGH 17. 7 THIS IS -- THE OUESTION WAS PUT TO YOU ABOUT OTHER 8 INCIDENTS. THIS WAS AFTER YOUR TESTIMONY ABOUT BETH AND THE 9 MARGARITA. 10 AT LINE 6, "QUESTION: OKAY. AND DID YOU EXPERIENCE ANY 11 OTHER INCIDENTS AFTER YOU CAME BACK TO WORK THAT SEEMED LIKE 12 THEY WERE GIVING YOU A HARD TIME? 13 "ANSWER: AT THE BEGINNING I DIDN'T THINK THIS WAS 14 HAPPENING. WHEN I WENT TO ORDER ANOTHER ALCOHOL ORDER, MICHAEL 15 WILSON CAME AND STOOD WHERE I WAS WORKING AND HE SAID FIRST 16 WE'RE FRIENDS. I SAID, WELL, OF COURSE. "HE TOOK ME OUT TO THE BAR. AND HE ASKED BETH WHAT 17 18 HAPPENED. BETH SAID THAT I TOOK THE WRONG ORDER AND THAT I WAS LOUD. I WAS ARGUING OR LOUD WITH HER. 19 20 "QUESTION: AND WERE YOU SPEAKING IN A LOUD VOICE TO HER? 21 ANSWER: NO. I BEGGED HER TO CHANGE BUT SHE WOULDN'T LET 22 ME." 23 THAT'S YOUR TESTIMONY FROM YESTERDAY? 24 A. CORRECT. 25 Q. IS THAT CORRECT?

1 YES. Α. Q. AND I THINK MR. WILSON WAS ASKED ABOUT THIS BY THE 2 3 INVESTIGATOR. IF I COULD FIND THAT PAGE 42, PLEASE. 4 AND IF YOU COULD BRING UP THIS PARAGRAPH THAT MR. WILSON 5 BROUGHT UP ANOTHER RECENT INCIDENT. 6 "WHEN HE WAS CALLED TO ADDRESS ANOTHER ISSUE THAT MS. DANG 7 HAD WITH THE BARTENDER, BETH LICONA, MR. WILSON WENT TO 8 MS. DANG WHO HE SAID APPEARED TO BECOME IMMEDIATELY DEFENSIVE. 9 "HE WARNED HER TO," QUOTE, "'KEEP YOUR TONGUE ABOUT YOU, 10 AND NO ARGUMENTS IN FRONT OF THE BAR, '" CLOSED QUOTE. DID MR. WILSON SAY THAT TO YOU? 11 12 A. NO. 13 "PRIOR TO ESCORTING HER TO THE BAR AREA" -- I THINK YOU 0. 14 TESTIFIED YESTERDAY THAT THAT'S WHERE HE TOOK YOU, TO THE BAR 15 AREA? 16 A. HE TOLD ME TO FOLLOW HIM TO THE BAR. 17 Q. ALL RIGHT. HE TOOK YOU TO THE BAR AREA? 18 Α. CORRECT. 19 Q. AND HE SAYS HERE, "MS. DANG DID NOT FOLLOW HIS INSTRUCTION 20 AND HAD A VERBAL ALTERCATION WITH BETH IN FRONT OF CUSTOMERS, 21 KEN MEAKCHAROON, AND MR. WILSON." 22 NOW, IS THAT AN ACCURATE STATEMENT THAT YOU HAD A LOUD 23 ALTERCATION WITH BETH IN FRONT OF THE CUSTOMERS; KEN, THE COOK;

24

25

AND MR. WILSON?

A. WE DID NOT ARGUE.

1 Q. AND DURING WHICH TIME THAT MS. DANG CALLED BETH A LIAR

- 2 FOUR TIMES.
- 3 DO YOU SEE THAT?
- 4 A. I DON'T THINK IT WAS FOUR TIMES BUT TWICE, YES.
- 5 Q. OKAY. YOUR RECOLLECTION IS THAT IT WASN'T ONCE, IT WASN'T
- 6 FOUR TIMES, IT WAS TWICE?
- 7 A. THAT'S CORRECT.
- 8 Q. NOW, IN FAIRNESS TO YOU, MR. WILSON STATES THAT HE FELT
- 9 THIS WAS UNACCEPTABLE, ESPECIALLY IN FRONT OF CUSTOMERS, AND
- 10 REQUESTED THAT MS. DANG TO APOLOGIZE TO BETH, WHICH SHE DID.
- 11 DID YOU APOLOGIZE TO HER?
- 12 A. I DID APOLOGIZE.
- 13 Q. ALL RIGHT.
- 14 A. WE WERE IN THE KITCHEN, AND THERE WERE NO OTHER CUSTOMERS
- 15 AND THERE WERE JUST COWORKERS.
- 16 Q. BUT YOU DID APOLOGIZE?
- 17 A. I DID.
- 18 Q. GOOD FOR YOU. DO YOU KNOW A PERSON NAMED JESSICA DOMINGO?
- 19 A. YES, I DO.
- 20 O. AND WHAT IS HER JOB AT BAY 101?
- 21 A. WHEN I WAS WORKING IN THE KITCHEN SHE WAS SOMEBODY THAT
- 22 WAS JUST NEW THAT JUST CAME IN.
- 23 O. AND DO YOU RECALL THAT SHE WAS A PORTER?
- 24 A. LATER SHE TRANSFERRED TO THAT. AFTER A MONTH WORKING AT
- 25 FOOD SERVICE, SHE WAS TRANSFERRED.

1 AND DO YOU RECALL THAT SHE WAS A PORTER WHILE YOU WERE A Q. SERVER ON THE FLOOR? 2 3 A. CORRECT. 4 Q. LET'S TAKE A LOOK AT EXHIBIT 503 IN EVIDENCE, PLEASE. 5 THIS IS A STATEMENT BY JESSICA DOMINGO DOCUMENTING AN 6 INCIDENT. 7 "I CAN'T REMEMBER THE DATE BUT THE TIME WAS AFTER 1:00

A.M. OR 1:25. I WAS INSIDE OF THE PORTER STATION WHEN CUC, THE SERVER, CALLED MY NAME AND TALKED TO ME, SHOWING ME THE ORDER SHE WANTS ME TO DO. SHE TOLD ME TO GO TO THE BAR AND BUY HER THE WINE. WE HAVE A LITTLE ARGUMENT BECAUSE I TOLD HER," QUOTE, "'WHY ME? I KNOW NOTHING ABOUT BEING A SERVER,'" UNOUOTE. "AND SHE TALKED IN A HIGH PITCH VOICE SAYING THAT SHE WOULD NOT BE ABLE TO GET ONE FROM THE BAR?

MS. NGUYEN: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. THE QUESTION? BY MR. MCMANIS:

- THE QUESTION IS, IS THAT AN ACCURATE STATEMENT OF THE Q. INCIDENT THAT YOU HAD WITH MS. DOMINGO?
- 19 A. IT'S NOT CORRECTLY THAT.
- 20 AND IS MS. DOMINGO A UNION EMPLOYEE? Ο.
- 21 Α. MAYBE SO.
- 22 Q. BUT THE PORTERS AT BAY 101 ARE MEMBERS OF THE UNION, ARE
- 23 THEY NOT?

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 24 A. I ONLY KNOW WORKING IN THE KITCHEN AND FOOD SERVICE, THEY
- 25 ARE UNIONIZED, BUT I DON'T KNOW EXACTLY WHETHER OR NOT -- MAYBE

- 1 SO.
- 2 Q. DO YOU THINK SHE WAS MAYBE ONE OF THE UNION EMPLOYEES THAT
- 3 WAS RETALIATING AGAINST YOU?
- 4 A. NO, SHE'S NOT RETALIATING.
- 5 Q. ALL RIGHT. LET'S GO BACK TO THE EARLY MORNING HOURS OF
- 6 DECEMBER 21, 2009, WHEN NICK CAME AND SAID THAT YOU NEEDED TO
- 7 GO TO A MEETING AND YOU CALLED YOUR HUSBAND.
- 8 DO YOU REMEMBER THAT?
- 9 A. YES, I REMEMBER THAT.
- 10 Q. NOW, IT'S YOUR TESTIMONY THAT YOU DIDN'T THINK THAT THIS
- 11 MIGHT BE A PROBLEM FOR YOU, THIS MEETING?
- 12 A. I DON'T KNOW WHAT HAPPENED.
- 13 Q. BUT MY QUESTION IS AT THE TIME THAT YOU WERE ASKED TO GO
- 14 TO THE MEETING, DID YOU THINK THAT THIS COULD BE TROUBLE FOR
- 15 YOU?
- 16 A. I DIDN'T THINK THAT IT WAS A PROBLEM.
- 17 Q. YOU WERE AWARE, WERE YOU NOT, OF THE PROBLEM THAT YOU HAD
- 18 WITH BETH LICONA?
- 19 A. NO.
- 20 O. OKAY. YOU KNEW ABOUT THIS INCIDENT INVOLVING JESSICA
- 21 DOMINGO, THE PORTER?
- 22 A. I DIDN'T THINK SO.
- Q. NOW, YOU DON'T KNOW EXACTLY WHAT YOUR HUSBAND SAID WHEN HE
- 24 CALLED NICK AFTER YOU SPOKE TO HIM; IS THAT RIGHT?
- 25 A. NO.

1 O. ALL RIGHT. SO YOU DON'T KNOW IF HE SAID SHE'S NOT COMING

- 2 TO ANY MEETING UNLESS I'M THERE? DO YOU KNOW IF HE SAID THAT
- 3 OR NOT?
- 4 A. I DON'T KNOW THE CONVERSATION BETWEEN THE TWO OF THEM.
- 5 O. YOU DON'T KNOW IF HE SAID THAT HE WAS GOING TO GET A
- 6 LAWYER?
- 7 A. I DIDN'T HEAR AND WHEN I GOT HOME, I DIDN'T HEAR MY
- 8 HUSBAND SAY ANYTHING ABOUT GETTING AN ATTORNEY.
- 9 Q. AT THE TIME ON DECEMBER 21, 2009, WAS STEVEN NGO STILL
- 10 REPRESENTING YOU? WAS HE STILL YOUR LAWYER?
- 11 A. HE WAS STILL. HE WAS STILL.
- 12 Q. SO HE WAS YOUR LAWYER AT THE TIME OF THE INTERVIEWS OF
- 13 NOVEMBER 3RD, AND NOVEMBER 5TH, AND HE WAS STILL YOUR LAWYER ON
- 14 DECEMBER 21, 2009?
- 15 A. I DON'T REMEMBER. AFTER I CAME BACK TO WORK, HE WAS STILL
- 16 REPRESENTING ME, BUT AFTER THAT HE WAS NOT MY ATTORNEY ANY
- MORE.
- 18 Q. OKAY. I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE WE HAVE COVERED ANY OF
- 19 THESE PROBLEMS THAT WE HAVE DESCRIBED WITH THE EMPLOYEES. AND
- 20 I SEEM TO HAVE MISLAID A VERY IMPORTANT DOCUMENT.
- 21 CAN I HAVE THE QUESTION THAT THE JUROR ASKED THIS MORNING,
- 22 IF I MAY, PLEASE. THANK YOU.
- 23 YOU TESTIFIED, I THINK TODAY, THAT THE MEN IN THE KITCHEN
- 24 GOT TO EAT STEAKS. DO YOU REMEMBER THAT?
- 25 A. YES.

1 O. AND THAT WHEN YOU WANTED TO EAT SOMETHING, YOU STEPPED

- 2 AWAY AND CAME BACK AND FOUND THAT NICK HAD DONE SOMETHING WITH
- 3 THE FOOD?
- 4 A. CORRECT.
- 5 O. AND WHAT HAD NICK DONE WITH THE FOOD WHILE YOU WERE AWAY?
- 6 A. CAN I REPEAT WHERE -- WHEN THAT MAN TOOK THE FOOD?
- 7 O. I'M SIMPLY TRYING TO FIND OUT SOMETHING ABOUT NICK
- 8 STIRRING YOUR SOUP WITH A STICK. DID THAT HAVE SOMETHING TO DO
- 9 WITH YOUR MEAL?
- 10 A. WELL, THAT'S THE EMPLOYEE'S FOOD.
- 11 O. ALL RIGHT. DO YOU RECALL IF THERE WAS EVER A COMPLAINT
- 12 ABOUT YOUR SOUP NOT THICK ENOUGH?
- 13 A. WHAT DO YOU MEAN IT WAS NOT THICK?
- 14 O. WAS THERE EVER A COMPLAINT THAT THE SOUP YOU MADE WAS NOT
- 15 THICK ENOUGH?
- 16 A. I DO NOT UNDERSTAND THE COMPLAINT. COULD YOU REPEAT IT?
- 17 Q. I'LL MOVE ON. I THINK YOU SAID SOMETHING ABOUT YOUR MEAL
- 18 AND A PROBLEM WITH NICK. WHAT WAS THE PROBLEM THAT YOU HAD
- 19 WITH NICK ABOUT YOUR EMPLOYEE MEAL?
- 20 A. WHEN I BROUGHT OUT THE FOOD, I HAD FORGOTTEN TO GET A
- 21 GLASS OF WATER SO I WENT BACK IN. I WENT TO THE CAFETERIA AND
- 22 TOOK A GLASS OF WATER. I SAW NICK AND HE PICKED UP A FORK, AND
- 23 HE MIXED UP ALL OF MY FOOD TO LOOK FOR SOMETHING UNDERNEATH THE
- 24 FOOD.
- 25 I ASKED WHAT HE WAS DOING. HE SAID THAT HE WANTED TO MIX

1 IT UP TO SEE WHAT WAS INSIDE OF IT TO SEE IF IT'S GOOD.

- 2 O. AND THIS WAS YOUR EMPLOYEE MEAL THAT HE WAS MIXING UP?
- 3 A. CORRECT.
- 4 Q. AND SOMETHING THAT YOU WERE GOING TO EAT?
- 5 A. CORRECT.
- 6 Q. AND HE WAS MIXING IT UP TO SEE IF THERE WAS SOMETHING
- 7 UNDERNEATH IT. IS THAT WHAT YOU'RE TELLING US?
- 8 A. CORRECT.
- 9 Q. ALL RIGHT. NOW, THERE WAS ANOTHER PIECE OF TESTIMONY THAT
- 10 YOU GAVE TODAY, SOMETHING ABOUT NICK AND ELIAS AND A CUSTOMER
- 11 AND A GIRLFRIEND.
- 12 CAN YOU CLEAR THAT UP FOR US?
- 13 A. ONE EVENING I WAS WORKING IN THE OTHER SIDE, THE CALI
- 14 SIDE.
- 15 Q. THAT'S THE CALIFORNIA GAMES SIDE?
- 16 A. YEAH, THE CALIFORNIA SIDE. AND THERE WAS A CUSTOMER THAT
- 17 CAME AND SAID, LINDA TOLD ME THAT I AM HIS GIRLFRIEND.
- 18 I SAID I DON'T WANT ANYTHING TO BE MIXED UP. I'M GOING TO
- 19 BRING THIS TO NICK.
- 20 WHEN I CAME IN I SPOKE WITH NICK, AND THEN HE CALLED
- 21 JENNIFER. I DON'T KNOW WHAT WAS SAID BETWEEN THE TWO OF THEM.
- 22 NICK LAUGHED IN A VERY SARCASTIC WAY AND TOLD ME IT'S FINE, NOW
- LEAVE.
- Q. I THINK I UNDERSTAND. A CUSTOMER ON THE CALIFORNIA GAMES
- 25 | SIDE OF THE FLOOR SAID TO YOU THAT LINDA HAD TOLD HIM THAT HE

1 WAS YOUR GIRLFRIEND -- OR YOU WERE HIS GIRLFRIEND?

- 2 A. LINDA TOLD HIM --
- 3 Q. YEAH.
- 4 A. THIS IS HOW THE STORY IS: THAT CUSTOMER OFTEN GOES TO
- 5 PLAY ON THE POKER SIDE. AND WHEN I WAS WORKING, I WAS
- 6 WORKING -- ONE DAY I WOULD BE WORKING ON THIS SIDE, AND THE
- 7 NEXT DAY I WOULD BE WORKING ON THE OTHER SIDE.
- 8 ONE EVENING LINDA CAME OVER TO TALK TO THE CUSTOMER, WOULD
- 9 YOU LIKE AN ORDER?
- 10 THE CUSTOMER SAID THAT I'M GOING TO WAIT FOR CUC DANG.
- 11 AND SO SHE RESPONDED, YOUR GIRLFRIEND IS NOT WORKING ON
- 12 THIS SIDE, SHE'S WORKING ON THE OTHER SIDE. SO DON'T BOTHER
- 13 WAITING.
- 14 Q. ALL RIGHT. AND WHEN THAT WAS REPORTED TO YOU, YOU
- 15 REPORTED IT TO NICK?
- 16 A. YES.
- 17 Q. AND IT'S YOUR BELIEF THAT HE REPORTED IT TO JENNIFER?
- 18 A. I DON'T KNOW WHAT THEY SAID. HE WAS LAUGHING. HE SAID
- 19 THAT'S ENOUGH, YOU CAN GET OUT.
- 20 Q. OKAY. FAIR ENOUGH. NOW, LET'S GET BACK TO THE EARLY
- 21 MORNING HOURS OF DECEMBER 21, 2009.
- 22 AND AS I UNDERSTAND IT, NICK CAME TO SEE YOU ABOUT 3:00 OR
- 4:00 O'CLOCK IN THE MORNING?
- A. CORRECT.
- 25 Q. AND TOLD YOU ABOUT THIS MEETING WHEN YOU GOT OFF SHIFT AT

- 1 7:00 A.M.?
- 2 A. CORRECT.
- 3 Q. AND ACCORDING TO YOU, YOU SAID I HAVE TO PICK UP MY
- 4 DAUGHTER?
- 5 A. CORRECT.
- 6 Q. AND I HAVE TO DRIVE MY HUSBAND, JASON, TO SURGERY?
- 7 A. CORRECT.
- 8 Q. YOUR DAUGHTER'S NAME WAS?
- 9 A. LISA.
- 10 Q. LISA. WE HAVE HEARD ABOUT CANCER SURGERY. I'LL ASK ABOUT
- 11 THAT, BUT WHAT TIME WAS YOUR HUSBAND'S SURGERY APPOINTMENT?
- 12 A. I DON'T REMEMBER CLEARLY. I THINK IT WAS AROUND 1:00,
- 13 1:00 P.M.
- 14 Q. IF I SAID IT WAS AT 2:00 O'CLOCK, WOULD THAT REFRESH YOUR
- 15 RECOLLECTION?
- 16 A. IT'S BEEN A LONG TIME, BUT IT'S PROBABLY AROUND THAT TIME.
- 17 Q. ALL RIGHT. SO WHEN MR. ORTEGA CAME TO YOU AT 3:00 OR 4:00
- 18 A.M. ABOUT A 7:00 A.M. MEETING, ONE OF THE THINGS YOU SAID IS I
- 19 HAVE TO DRIVE MY HUSBAND TO SURGERY AND AS BEST YOU CAN RECALL
- THAT WAS SCHEDULED AT 1:00 P.M.?
- 21 A. CORRECT.
- 22 Q. AND I THINK YOU DESCRIBED THE SURGERY IN YOUR DEPOSITION
- 23 AS A SMALL OPERATION?
- A. CORRECT.
- 25 Q. AND BASICALLY THIS WAS A BASAL CELL ISSUE WITH HIS NOSE?

1 MAYBE SO. Α. 2 Q. AND THAT WAS WHAT YOU HAD IN MIND WHEN YOU TOLD MR. ORTEGA 3 THAT YOU HAD TO DRIVE YOUR HUSBAND TO SURGERY? 4 A. CORRECT. 5 Q. NOW, I WANT TO ASK ABOUT PICKING UP YOUR DAUGHTER LISA. 6 LET'S TAKE A LOOK AT YOUR DEPOSITION, AND PLEASE DON'T PUT 7 IT UP UNTIL COUNSEL HAS HAD A CHANCE TO LOOK AT IT, 8 SPECIFICALLY PAGE 281, LINE 19 TO PAGE 282, LINE 16. 9 MS. NGUYEN: I'M FINE. 10 MR. MCMANIS: OKAY. IF YOU WOULD PUT THAT UP, 11 PLEASE. 12 Q. ALL RIGHT. SO THIS IS PAGE 281, LINES 19 TO 25 AT YOUR 13 DEPOSITION. 14 "OUESTION: AND YOU'VE TESTIFIED THAT NICK CAME IN SOME 15 TIME AFTER 3:00? 16 "ANSWER: CORRECT. "QUESTION: SO YOU ASKED NICK -- YOU TOLD NICK THAT YOU 17 18 NEEDED TO LEAVE EARLY TO PICK UP YOUR DAUGHTER SOME TIME AFTER 19 3:00 IN THE MORNING, CORRECT? 20 "ANSWER: CORRECT." 21 AND THAT'S THE TESTIMONY YOU GAVE AT YOUR DEPOSITION? 22 A. CORRECT. 23 O. AND YOU SAY THAT'S SOMETHING THAT YOU TOLD NICK THAT 24 MORNING?

25

A. CORRECT.

1 Q. AND LET'S GO TO THE NEXT PAGE 282, LINES 1 THROUGH 16. 2 "QUESTION: AND YOU KNEW WHEN YOU ASKED NICK THAT YOUR 3 DAUGHTER WAS ARRIVING AT 3:30 OR 4:00 O'CLOCK, CORRECT? 4 Α. CORRECT. 5 ANSWER: CORRECT. 0. 6 QUESTION: DID YOU ASK NICK IF YOU COULD LEAVE RIGHT THEN? 7 ANSWER: NO, I DIDN'T SAY THAT. I JUST ASKED TO LEAVE 8 EARLY. 9 QUESTION: OKAY. DID YOU PICK YOUR DAUGHTER UP? 10 ANSWER: YES. 11 QUESTION: WHAT TIME? 12 7:00 O'CLOCK. 13 QUESTION: HOW OLD WAS YOUR DAUGHTER? ANSWER: AT THE TIME SHE WAS 18 OR 18 AND A HALF. 14 15 OUESTION: AND WHAT WAS THE LOCATION THAT YOU HAD TO PICK 16 HER UP? 17 ANSWER: NEAR LEE'S SANDWICH BY LIE I DON'T KNOW'S MARKET. 18 QUESTION: LEE'S SANDWICH? DID YOU HEAR THAT CORRECT? 19 ANSWER: CORRECT." 20 IS THAT YOUR TESTIMONY? 21 A. CORRECT. 22 AND IS THAT TRUE? Ο. 23 WELL, IT'S CORRECT, BUT I DIDN'T GET THE CHANCE TO TELL Α. 2.4 YOU EVERYTHING. 25 WELL, WE'LL SEE IF WE CAN HELP YOU WITH THAT. Q.

1 BUT THIS IS WHAT YOU TOLD MS. KIRSCH WHEN YOU GAVE YOUR 2 DEPOSITION? 3 A. CORRECT. 4 Q. AND YOU HAD YOUR LAWYER THERE? 5 A. YES. 6 Q. AND THE PROFESSIONAL INTERPRETER? A. YES. 8 O. PICKING UP YOUR DAUGHTER AT 7:00 O'CLOCK NEAR LEE'S 9 SANDWICH BY LION'S MARKET. 10 NOW, LEE'S SANDWICH SHOP AND LION'S MARKET ARE NEAR THE 11 INTERSECTION OF TULLY AND KING; IS THAT RIGHT? 12 A. CORRECT. 13 Q. ALL RIGHT. I'M GOING TO ASK WE MARK AN EXHIBIT, AND I 14 GUESS I MARK THOSE MYSELF, AS NEXT IN ORDER 561. 15 AND I'LL SHOW THOSE TO COUNSEL, AND I'LL REPRESENT IT'S A 16 GOOGLE MAP. 17 MAY I APPROACH, YOUR HONOR? 18 (DEFENDANTS' EXHIBIT 561 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 19 THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION? 20 MS. NGUYEN: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: OKAY. GO AHEAD. 21 22 BY MR. MCMANIS: 23 Q. MS. DANG, I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU DEFENDANTS' EXHIBIT 561. 24 AND THIS IS A GOOGLE MAP SHOWING TULLY ROAD, KING ROAD, LEE'S 25 SANDWICH, AND THE LION'S SUPERMARKET.

1 DO YOU RECOGNIZE THAT? 2 A. YES. 3 Q. AND IS THAT AN ACCURATE PICTURE? 4 A. CORRECT. 5 MR. MCMANIS: MOVE IT IN EVIDENCE, PLEASE. 6 THE COURT: IT'S RECEIVED. (PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 561 WAS RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE.) 8 MR. MCMANIS: WOULD YOU PUT THAT ON THE SCREEN, 9 PLEASE. 10 MS. NGUYEN: WHAT NUMBER AGAIN? 11 MR. MCMANIS: 561. 12 MS. NGUYEN: THANK YOU. 13 BY MR. MCMANIS: NOW, I'M GOING TO GO OVER THIS AGAIN SINCE THE JURY HAS 14 15 NOT SEEN THIS. THIS AERIAL MAP OF THE AREA, WE HAVE TULLY ROAD 16 ACROSS THE TOP OF THE EXHIBIT; IS THAT RIGHT? 17 A. CORRECT. 18 Q. AND THEN WE HAVE KING ROAD RUNNING DOWN THE CENTER OF THE 19 EXHIBIT; IS THAT CORRECT? 20 A. CORRECT. 21 Q. AND LEE'S SANDWICH SHOP IS OVER HERE (INDICATING)? 22 A. CORRECT. 23 Q. AND LION'S SUPERMARKET IS OVER HERE (INDICATING)? 24 A. CORRECT. 25 Q. WOULD YOU PUT THAT LAST DEPOSITION PAGE WE LOOKED AT A

1 MINUTE AGO UP, PLEASE. IT'S PAGE 282. 2 3 SO WHEN YOU TESTIFIED AT YOUR DEPOSITION THAT YOU WERE 4 PICKING UP YOUR DAUGHTER NEAR LEE'S SANDWICH SHOP NEAR LION'S 5 MARKET, THAT'S THE AREA THAT WE SAW ON THE PICTURE, RIGHT? 6 A. CORRECT. 7 Q. AND LET'S GO BACK TO DEFENDANTS' EXHIBIT 561, PLEASE. 8 AND HERE'S THE LION'S SUPERMARKET AND LEE'S SANDWICH. 9 NOW, YOU KNEW ABOUT LEE'S SANDWICH SHOP IN PART BECAUSE THAT'S 10 WHERE YOU MET YOUR HUSBAND JASON, RIGHT? A. NO. 11 12 Q. NO? 13 A. NOT THERE. 14 O. ALL RIGHT. BUT YOU KNEW ABOUT LEE'S SANDWICH SHOP? 15 A. YES, I DID KNOW. 16 Q. AND LEE'S SANDWICH SHOP, UNLESS I'M MISTAKEN, IS OPEN FROM 17 4:30 IN THE MORNING; IS THAT RIGHT? 18 I DON'T GO THERE VERY EARLY TO BUY SO I DON'T KNOW. 19 Q. LET ME SHOW YOU -- I'M GOING TO MARK THIS AS AN EXHIBIT 20 NEXT IN ORDER D-562, AND I WILL SHOW THIS TO YOU TO SEE IF IT 21 REFRESHES YOUR RECOLLECTION. 22 (DEFENDANTS' EXHIBIT 562 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 23 BY MR. MCMANIS:

Q. I'M SHOWING YOU D-562, A COPY OF THE LEE'S WEBSITE, AND

ASK YOU, PLEASE, IF YOU WOULD LOOK AT THE BUSINESS HOURS AND

24

25

1 TELL ME IF THAT REFRESHES YOUR RECOLLECTION THAT THAT SANDWICH

- 2 SHOP IS OPEN AT 4:30 IN THE MORNING?
- 3 A. THIS IS CORRECT, BUT OUTSIDE AT THE STORE I DON'T KNOW.
- 4 Q. BUT THE SANDWICH SHOP IS OPEN AT 4:30?
- 5 A. EACH LEE'S SANDWICH HAS DIFFERENT WORK HOURS, AND SINCE I
- 6 USUALLY DON'T GO THERE EARLY IN THE MORNING TO BUY, I DON'T
- 7 KNOW.
- 8 Q. OKAY. NOW, DO YOU RECALL THAT YOU WERE ASKED IN THIS CASE
- 9 TO IDENTIFY THE BUS COMPANY THAT YOUR DAUGHTER TOOK FOR HER
- 10 TRIP TO SAN JOSE THAT MORNING?
- 11 A. YES.
- 12 Q. AND I'M GOING TO WRITE THIS DOWN. AND DO YOU RECALL THAT
- 13 YOU ANSWERED A QUESTION BY IDENTIFYING THIS BUS COMPANY?
- 14 A. YES.
- 15 Q. AND IF YOU WOULD BE KIND ENOUGH, HOW DO YOU SAY THAT
- 16 COMPANY NAME?
- 17 A. XE DO HOANG.
- 18 Q. XE DO HOANG?
- 19 A. XE DO HOANG.
- 20 Q. I'M NOT GOING TO TRY THAT AGAIN. THANK YOU.
- 21 NOW, YOU LATER LEARNED, DID YOU NOT, THAT THAT BUS COMPANY
- 22 DID NOT DROP PEOPLE OFF AT LION'S MARKET AT 7:00 O'CLOCK IN THE
- 23 MORNING?
- A. I DON'T KNOW WHERE THAT BUS COMPANY DROPS PEOPLE OFF AT A
- 25 | SMALL TREAT NEAR LEE'S SANDWICH OR AT THE MARKET BUT I KNOW

1 SOME PEOPLE WILL BE DROPPED OFF THERE BUT I NEVER TRIED THAT 2 BUS COMPANY. 3 WELL, LET'S GO BACK TO PAGE 282 OF YOUR DEPOSITION, LINES 4 1 TO 16. 5 YOU WERE ASKED AT LINE 6: "DID YOU PICK YOUR DAUGHTER UP? 6 "ANSWER: YES. "OUESTION: WHAT TIME? 8 "ANSWER: 7:00. 9 "QUESTION: AND WHAT WAS THE LOCATION THAT YOU HAD TO PICK 10 HER UP? 11 "NEAR LEE'S SANDWICH BY LION'S MARKET." 12 ARE YOU TESTIFYING THAT YOU, IN FACT, WENT TO THAT 13 LOCATION AND PICKED YOUR DAUGHTER UP? 14 Α. YES. 15 AND THIS BUS COMPANY THAT YOU FIRST TOLD US ABOUT WAS THIS 16 VIETNAMESE BUS COMPANY; CORRECT? 17 A. YES, CORRECT. 18 Q. WOULD YOU PUT EXHIBIT 561 UP, PLEASE. 19 AND IT IS TRUE, IS IT NOT, THAT THAT BUS COMPANY MAKES NO 20 STOPS IN THAT AREA AT THAT TIME? 2.1 I DON'T KNOW IF THAT BUS COMPANY STOPS THERE OR NOT. I AM 22 SURE THEY RELEASE PEOPLE FROM LEE'S SANDWICH. THERE'S A SMALL 23 STREET NEXT TO IT. THERE'S A TEMPLE NEAR THERE AND THAT'S 2.4 WHERE THE CLIENTS OR THE CUSTOMERS ARE DROPPED OFF. 25 Q. DO YOU RECALL THAT WE TOOK THE DEPOSITION OF THE BUS

1 COMPANY IN LOS ANGELES IN THIS CASE?

- 2 A. YES.
- 3 Q. AND THAT THE TESTIMONY WAS WE DON'T --
- 4 MS. NGUYEN: YOUR HONOR, OBJECTION. HEARSAY.
- 5 THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
- 6 MR. MCMANIS: ALL RIGHT.
- 7 Q. WELL, IN ANY EVENT, LET ME ASK YOU IF LATER YOU CHANGED
- 8 YOUR ANSWER TO THE INTERROGATORY AND SAID THAT IT MAY HAVE BEEN
- 9 THE GREYHOUND BUS COMPANY?
- 10 A. I DON'T KNOW. I JUST KNEW THAT I HAD TO PICK UP MY
- 11 DAUGHTER. SHE SAID IT WAS -- THOSE WERE THE BUS COMPANY DROPS,
- 12 AND WHEN I GOT THERE MY DAUGHTER WAS THERE.
- Q. COULD YOU PUT UP 561 AGAIN, PLEASE.
- 14 SO IT'S YOUR TESTIMONY THAT WHEN YOU GOT THERE AT 7:00
- 15 A.M. THAT MORNING, YOUR DAUGHTER WAS THERE?
- 16 A. CORRECT.
- 17 Q. AND WAS SHE IN FRONT OF THE LION'S SUPERMARKET OR LEE'S
- 18 SANDWICH OR SOMEPLACE ELSE?
- 19 A. IT'S A SMALL STREET NEAR LEE'S SANDWICH. IT'S NEAR LEE'S
- 20 SANDWICH AND A TEMPLE.
- 21 Q. OKAY. IS IT TRUE THAT AFTER WE TOOK THE DEPOSITION OF THE
- 22 BUS COMPANY IN LOS ANGELES THAT YOU CHANGED YOUR ANSWER TO SAY
- 23 IT MAY HAVE BEEN THE GREYHOUND BUS COMPANY?
- A. I DIDN'T CHANGE. I JUST KNEW THAT I PICKED UP MY DAUGHTER
- 25 AT THAT LOCATION.

1 MR. MCMANIS: I'M GOING TO ASK TO HAVE TWO EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION MARKED AS DEFENDANTS' EXHIBIT 563 FOR 2 3 IDENTIFICATION, PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' SPECIAL 4 INTERROGATORIES SET 1. AN AS DEFENDANTS' 564, PLAINTIFF'S SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL 5 6 RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' SPECIAL INTERROGATORY SET 1. 7 (DEFENDANTS' EXHIBITS 563 AND 563 WERE MARKED FOR 8 IDENTIFICATION.) 9 MS. NGUYEN: I'M FINE WITH IT, YOUR HONOR. 10 MR. MCMANIS: MAY I APPROACH, YOUR HONOR? THE COURT: SURE. 11 12 BY MR. MCMANIS: 13 Q. MS. DANG, I'M SHOWING YOU DEFENDANTS' EXHIBIT 563 TITLED PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES SET 14 15 1. AND I'LL ASK YOU, FIRST OF ALL, WHAT IS THE DATE ON THAT? 16 NOVEMBER 14TH, 20011. Α. 17 Q. AND IS THAT YOUR LAWYER'S SIGNATURE? 18 Α. CORRECT. 19 Q. ALL RIGHT. AND YOU SIGNED A VERIFICATION. COULD YOU 20 IDENTIFY THAT AS YOUR SIGNATURE? 21 A. CORRECT. 22 Q. NOW, I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU DEFENDANTS' EXHIBIT 564, THE 23 SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' SPECIAL 2.4 INTERROGATORIES. AND I'LL ASK YOU, PLEASE, LOOKING AT THE DATE 25 OF THAT RESPONSE, WHAT IS THE DATE?

- 1 A. AUGUST 3RD, 2012.
- 2 Q. AND THAT'S YOUR ATTORNEY'S SIGNATURE?
- 3 A. CORRECT.
- 4 Q. AND LOOKING AT THE VERIFICATION PAGE, IS THAT THE
- 5 SIGNATURE WHERE YOU VERIFIED THAT RESPONSE?
- 6 A. CORRECT.
- 7 MR. MCMANIS: I'LL MOVE DEFENDANTS' 563 AND 564 INTO 8 EVIDENCE, PLEASE.
- 9 MS. NGUYEN: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. WE WOULD
  10 JUST REQUEST THAT AN INSTRUCTION LATER REGARDING THE
  11 INTERROGATORIES.
- 12 THE COURT: I'LL GIVE IT RIGHT NOW.
- 13 INTERROGATORIES ARE QUESTIONS THAT ARE SENT BY ONE PARTY

  14 TO ANOTHER PARTY BEFORE TRIAL. THEY HAVE TO BE ANSWERED UNDER

  15 OATH AND THEN THEY CAN BE USED IN TRIAL AS EVIDENCE OF THE

  16 SUBJECT OF THE INTERROGATORY.
- 17 (DEFENDANTS' EXHIBITS 563 AND 564 WERE RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE.)
- MR. MCMANIS: WOULD YOU PUT 563 ON THE BOARD,

  20 PLEASE. LET'S GET THE COVER SHEET.
- 21 Q. NOW, THIS IS TITLED PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS'
- 22 | SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES SET 1. AND THAT'S YOUR LAWYER,
- 23 MS. NGUYEN, RIGHT?
- A. YES, THAT'S CORRECT.
- 25 Q. AND LET'S GO TO NUMBER 6. SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NUMBER 6.

1 "PLEASE IDENTIFY THE BUS COMPANY THAT YOUR DAUGHTER USED FOR HER BUS TRIP FROM SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA TO SAN JOSE AND SCHEDULED 2 3 TO ARRIVE IN SAN JOSE ON DECEMBER 21, 2009." 4 AND WOULD YOU READ YOUR RESPONSE, PLEASE? 5 COULD YOU READ THAT FOR ME. 6 Ο. WELL, I'D LIKE YOU TO READ YOUR RESPONSE, PLEASE. THAT SAYS XE DO HOANG AND THE PHONE NUMBER. Α. AND THAT'S THE BUS COMPANY THAT YOU SAID IN YOUR SPECIAL 8 Ο. 9 INTERROGATORIES? 10 A. CORRECT. Q. NOW, LET'S PUT UP 564, BUT I WANT TO FOCUS IN ON LINE 24 11 12 TO 28 WHERE WE GET THE SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE. 13 NOW, THIS IS THE RESPONSE THAT IS DATED AUGUST 3, 2012, AND YOU WERE ASKED: "PLEASE IDENTIFY THE BUS COMPANY THAT YOUR 14 15 DAUGHTER USED FOR HER BUS TRIP FROM SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA TO SAN 16 JOSE AND SCHEDULED TO ARRIVE IN SAN JOSE ON DECEMBER 21, 2009." 17 RESPONSE: I AM NOT SURE, BUT IT MAY HAVE BEEN THE 18 GREYHOUND BUS INSTEAD OF THE VIETNAMESE COMPANY. 19 DO YOU SEE THAT? 20 THAT'S CORRECT. I DID NOT SAY IT WAS XE DO HOANG OR 21 GREYHOUND. I JUST KNEW THAT MY DAUGHTER ASKED ME TO COME TO 22 THAT LOCATION TO PICK HER UP, AND THAT'S WHAT I DID. 23 O. AND YOU MADE THAT CHANGE IN YOUR ANSWER FROM THE 24 VIETNAMESE BUS TO THE GREYHOUND BUS AFTER YOU LEARNED THAT THE 25 VIETNAMESE COMPANY DID NOT HAVE A STOP THERE IN THAT -- AT THAT

1 TIME OF DAY; ISN'T THAT RIGHT?

- 2 A. NO.
- 3 O. OKAY. I HAVE A FEW HOUSEKEEPING MATTERS TO MAKE UP WITH
- 4 YOU, MS. DANG. I'D LIKE YOU TO LOOK AT EXHIBIT 519, PLEASE.
- 5 DO YOU HAVE THAT IN FRONT OF YOU?
- 6 A. YES.
- 7 Q. AND IS THAT YOUR SIGNATURE AT THE END OF THAT DOCUMENT?
- 8 A. CORRECT.
- 9 Q. AND WHAT IS THE DATE ON THAT?
- 10 A. FEBRUARY 18TH, 2009.
- 11 Q. OKAY. COULD WE PUT THAT UP, PLEASE.
- 12 CAN WE MOVE THAT INTO EVIDENCE? JUST A SECOND. MOVE IT
- 13 IN EVIDENCE?
- 14 MS. NGUYEN: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.
- 15 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 519 IS RECEIVED.
- 16 (DEFENDANTS' EXHIBIT 519 WAS RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE.)
- 17 MR. MCMANIS: ALL RIGHT. YOU CAN PUT THAT UP,
- 18 PLEASE. MOVE IT UP.
- 19 Q. THIS IS TITLED SERVER TRAINING. DO YOU SEE THAT?
- 20 A. I SEE IT.
- 21 Q. THIS CHECKLIST ACKNOWLEDGES YOUR AWARENESS OF THE SERVER
- 22 | RESPONSIBILITIES, AND THEN THESE ARE LISTED HERE. IS THAT
- 23 TRUE?
- A. CORRECT.
- Q. AND IT SAYS AT THE BOTTOM, "I HAVE READ AND UNDERSTOOD THE

1 SAID RESPONSIBILITIES."

- 2 AND THAT'S WHERE YOU SIGNED AND DATED IT; CORRECT?
- 3 A. I UNDERSTAND THAT, BUT I DID NOT UNDERSTAND WHAT THEY WERE
- 4 SAYING.
- 5 O. IS IT YOUR TESTIMONY THAT THIS DOCUMENT THAT YOU SIGNED
- 6 SAYING THAT YOU HAVE READ AND UNDERSTOOD THOSE DUTIES, YOU DID
- 7 NOT UNDERSTAND?
- 8 A. I DIDN'T UNDERSTAND.
- 9 Q. DIDN'T YOU UNDERSTAND THAT ONE OF THE REASONS THAT BAY 101
- 10 TRIED TO TRAIN YOU WAS TO HELP YOU UNDERSTAND THESE DUTIES?
- 11 A. NOW I UNDERSTAND BUT WHEN I WAS READING THIS DOCUMENT, I
- 12 DID NOT UNDERSTAND.
- 13 O. YOU RECALL THAT ELLIS WAS YOUR TRAINER?
- 14 A. CORRECT.
- 15 Q. AND THIS DOCUMENT WAS SIGNED AND DATED WHILE YOU WERE
- 16 TRAINING?
- 17 A. CORRECT.
- 18 Q. NOW, I WANT TO GO THROUGH SOME OF YOUR TESTIMONY AT YOUR
- 19 DEPOSITION ABOUT MEAL AND REST BREAKS. AND I'M GOING TO --
- 20 | FIRST OF ALL, WE'RE GOING TO SHOW YOU, AFTER MY FRIEND HERE HAS
- 21 HAD A CHANCE TO LOOK AT THE EXCERPT, PAGE 315 OF YOUR
- DEPOSITION, LINES 1 TO 8.
- 23 THE COURT: MR. MCMANIS, IF THIS IS A GOOD POINT, I
- 24 THINK IT MIGHT BE A GOOD IDEA TO BREAK. I HAVE RAN THE
- 25 INTERPRETER FOR LONGER THAN IS FAIR TO HER.

1	MR. MCMANIS: YOU'RE A HARD TASKMASTER IF I MAY SAY
2	SO, YOUR HONOR.
3	THE COURT: SO WHY DON'T WE CALL IT FOR TODAY, AND
4	WE'LL SEE YOU TOMORROW MORNING AT 8:30.
5	MR. MCMANIS: 8:30?
6	THE COURT: YES.
7	MR. MCMANIS: THANK YOU.
8	(JURY OUT AT 1:15 P.M.)
9	THE COURT: TWO ISSUES WE WANT TO TAKE UP. ONE IS
10	WE HAVE ANOTHER QUESTION FROM A JUROR, AND I THINK IT'S PRETTY
11	EASY TO ANSWER, BUT LET ME SHOW IT TO YOU.
12	MR. MCMANIS: I AGREE WITH YOU AND PERHAPS YOUR
13	HONOR CAN ADDRESS THAT IN THE MORNING.
14	THE COURT: I WILL. I JUST WILL SAY IT HAS TO BE
15	INTRODUCED TO BE EVIDENCE.
16	MR. MCMANIS: ABSOLUTELY.
17	MS. NGUYEN: RIGHT.
18	THE COURT: THE OTHER THING IS THAT I TOLD
19	MS. NGUYEN AT THE SIDE-BAR THAT I'D LET HER TRY AND EXPLAIN TO
20	ME HER CONCERN ABOUT THE PASSAGE YOU READ FROM THE DEPOSITION
21	OF MS. DANG.
22	MS. NGUYEN: YES, YOUR HONOR. THE QUESTION WAS
23	WHETHER OR NOT SHE WOULD HAVE ANY RELUCTANCE OR DISCOMFORT
24	GOING BACK TO WORK THE SAME SHIFT AS MR. LUCIO SUAREZ.
25	AND MY FEAR IS THAT IT WOULD IMPLY THAT SOMEHOW SHE WASN'T

1 AFRAID OR UNCOMFORTABLE WORKING NEXT TO HIM, BUT THAT, IF TAKEN IN CONTEXT, WAS BECAUSE SHE WAS BEING ASKED WHETHER SHE WOULD 2 3 CHOOSE IN TERMS OF THE REIN STATEMENT, BECAUSE THAT'S WHAT WE 4 WERE DISCUSSING AT THE TIME, BETWEEN GOING BACK TO WORK THE 5 SAME SHIFT AS MR. SUAREZ OR GOING BACK TO WORK THE SAME SHIFT 6 AS MR. ORTEGA AND LINDA ELIAS. 7 AND SO IF THEY ARE GOING TO BE ALLOWED TO IMPLY THAT 8 SOMEHOW SHE IS AGREEING OR IS NOT UNCOMFORTABLE GOING BACK TO

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

AND SO IF THEY ARE GOING TO BE ALLOWED TO IMPLY THAT

SOMEHOW SHE IS AGREEING OR IS NOT UNCOMFORTABLE GOING BACK TO

WORK WITH MR. LUCIO SUAREZ, I WOULD LIKE TO BE ABLE TO EXPLAIN

THE OTHER PART BUT THAT GOES INTO THE DISCUSSION OF WHY WE WERE

TALKING ABOUT REINSTATING HER AND THE ARBITRATION RESULTS.

THE COURT: WHAT PAGE OF THE DEPOSITION WAS THAT?

MR. MCMANIS: PAGE 299, YOUR HONOR, LINES 10 TO 15.

MS. NGUYEN: AND IT STARTS BACK AT PAGES 295, 296
WHEN THEY WERE ASKING WHETHER SHE WAS WILLING TO RETURN TO WORK
AT THE GRAVEYARD SHIFT AFTER THE ARBITRATOR ORDERED THE
REINSTATEMENT.

MR. MCMANIS: I DIDN'T USE ANY OF THOSE PAGES FOR THE OBVIOUS REASONS. I DID NOT WANT TO GET INTO THAT.

THE SALIENT FEATURE OF THIS VERY BRIEF EXCERPT IS THE ANSWER SHE GAVE TO THE FIRST QUESTION, "AFTER THAT LETTER HE DIDN'T DO ANYTHING TO ME."

THAT'S THE POINT OF THAT. IT DOESN'T HAVE -- IMPLICATE

ANYTHING IN TERMS OF MEDIATION, ARBITRATION OR ANYTHING OF THE

SORT.

1	I KNOW MY FRIEND WOULD LOVE TO GET INTO THAT, BUT I DON'T
2	THINK THAT THAT OPENS THE DOOR ONE INCH.
3	MS. NGUYEN: YOUR HONOR, THE QUESTION THAT
4	MR. MCMANIS WAS ABLE TO SHOW WAS WOULD YOU COME BACK TO WORK
5	AND WORK THE SAME SHIFT AS LUCIO. AND THE ANSWER THAT CAME IN
6	IS "IF HE DOESN'T BOTHER ME. AFTER THAT LETTER, HE DIDN'T DO
7	ANYTHING TO ME."
8	BUT WHETHER OR NOT HE COULD BOTHER HER AND WHETHER OR NOT
9	SHE WOULD FEEL OR WHETHER OR NOT SHE WOULD COME BACK TO THE
10	SAME SHIFT WITH HIM HAD TO DO WITH WHAT HAPPENED AFTER THE
11	ARBITRATION AND HER WINNING THE ARBITRATION, NOT AT THE TIME
12	WHEN SHE WAS STILL WORKING AND BEFORE THE TERMINATION.
13	THE COURT: I THINK UNDER WHAT WAS READ IT DOESN'T
14	OPEN THE DOOR.
15	MR. MCMANIS: THANK YOU.
16	MS. NGUYEN: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
17	THE COURT: HOW MUCH LONGER DO YOU HAVE?
18	MR. MCMANIS: PARDON ME?
19	THE COURT: HOW MUCH LONGER DO YOU HAVE WITH
20	MS. DANG?
21	MR. MCMANIS: I'LL GO THROUGH MY NOTES, AND I'LL BE
22	ABLE TO GIVE YOU THAT IN THE MORNING.
23	I THINK I'M GETTING PRETTY CLOSE TO THE END.
24	MS. NGUYEN: WELL, I'M SORRY. I DO NEED TO KNOW.
25	IF YOU DON'T KNOW, THEN I JUST HAVE TO TAKE ANOTHER WITNESS OUT

1	OF ORDER BECAUSE I HAVE LISA, MS. DANG'S DAUGHTER, COMING IN
2	AND SHE'S COMING DOWN FROM SCHOOL AND THEN SHE HAS TO GO BACK
3	TO SCHOOL AND WORK.
4	SO I HAVE HER SCHEDULED FOR 8:30 TOMORROW MORNING.
5	MR. MCMANIS: WELL, I OBJECT TO THAT. I'LL MEET AND
6	CONFER WITH COUNSEL AND MAYBE WE CAN WORK IT OUT. I MEAN, THIS
7	IS THE PLAINTIFF'S TESTIMONY AND BRINGING IN ANOTHER WITNESS,
8	ESPECIALLY NOT AN EXPERT, I DON'T THINK THAT'S APPROPRIATE.
9	MS. NGUYEN: YOUR HONOR, IT'S THE ONLY TIME THAT SHE
10	CAN MAKE IT DOWN HERE. I HAD TO WORK REALLY HARD JUST TO GET
11	HER DOWN HERE.
12	THE COURT: WELL, WHY DON'T WE SEE HOW MUCH TIME
13	MR. MCMANIS OBVIOUSLY IS NOT GOING TO TAKE THE WHOLE MORNING
14	WITH MS. DANG.
15	MR. MCMANIS: THAT'S RIGHT.
16	THE COURT: SO I WOULD THINK THAT WE COULD FOR SURE
17	GET TO HER.
18	MS. NGUYEN: I THINK SHE HAS CLASSES TOMORROW AT
19	NOON OR LATER. I CAN CHECK, BUT I KNOW THAT SHE I PROMISED
20	HER THAT SHE WOULD BE OUT OF HERE BY ABOUT 10:30 AT THE LATEST.
21	THE COURT: WELL, I WOULD THINK THAT WE COULD
22	PROBABLY ACCOMMODATE THAT.
23	MR. MCMANIS: WELL, I WOULD THINK SO, TOO. AND, OF
24	COURSE, I DIDN'T PROMISE ANYTHING.
25	AND THIS IS THE FIRST THAT I HEARD ABOUT IT TWO MINUTES

1	AGO.
2	MAY I ASK FROM THE COURT WHERE SHE ATTENDS SCHOOL AND SHE
3	HAS THESE CLASSES?
4	MS. NGUYEN: BERKELEY.
5	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WELL, I WILL MAKE SURE THAT
6	SHE GETS TO TESTIFY TOMORROW, BUT I WON'T IF YOU CAN'T WORK
7	IT OUT BETWEEN YOU, I'LL LEAVE IT UP TO MR. MCMANIS TO CHOOSE
8	WHEN HE WOULD WANT TO BREAK, IF NECESSARY.
9	MR. MCMANIS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. THANK YOU.
10	THE COURT: OKAY.
11	MS. NGUYEN: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
12	(COURT CONCLUDED AT 1:22 P.M.)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I, THE UNDERSIGNED OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, 280 SOUTH FIRST STREET, SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA, DO HEREBY CERTIFY: THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT, CERTIFICATE INCLUSIVE, IS A CORRECT TRANSCRIPT FROM THE RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER. IRENE RODRIGUEZ, CSR, CRR CERTIFICATE NUMBER 8076 DATED: MAY 7, 2013 2.0